Ward-Takhashi (WT) identity

- WT identity is diagrammatic (amplitude-level) expression/version of current conservation, in turn, following from gauge invariance: $\mathcal{L} = \overline{\Psi} (i D - m) \Psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ with $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + i e Q A_{\mu} (D = \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu})$ is invariant under $A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu} \theta$ and $\psi \rightarrow e^{-ieQ\theta(x)} \psi$ [coupling to photon (An) from $\partial_{\mu} \rightarrow \partial_{\mu} + ie Q A_{\mu}$ -Notation: $-ie \Gamma_{\mu}(p, p-q)$ is amplitude for full (tree + losp) vertex/3-point function (without counting external legs/propagators)

 $\Gamma_{\mu} = \Gamma_{\mu}^{(0)}(\text{tree}) + \Gamma_{\mu}^{(00p)}$ $\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}$ \left)
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}
\left)
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}
\left)
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\bigg)
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\bigg)
\left)
\end{array}
\left)
\bigg)
\left(
\bigg)
\bigg)
\left)
\bigg)
\left(
\bigg)
\left(
\bigg)
\bigg)
\left(
\bigg)
\left(
\bigg)
\left(
\bigg)
\bigg)
\left(
\bigg)
\left($[tree-(evel) \Gamma_{\mu}^{(0)} = Q \gamma_{\mu}$ (Feynman rule is -ieQYp: Q = -1 for electron; e > 0 \Rightarrow $\mathcal{P}^{\mu} \Gamma^{(0)}(p, p-q) = Q \mathcal{A}$ photon = $Q((\not -m) - (\not -A - m))$ momentum $S_{F}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\not p - m} = \frac{\not p + m}{\not p^{2} - m^{2}}$ is fermion propagator (Feynman rule is iSF) - WT identity: above valid at all loops

["remove" (0) superscript] $(2^{\mu}\Gamma_{\mu}(P,P-q) = Q(S_F(P)-S_F'(P-q))$ - Meaning of each side: The as above - is propagator at all orders $= \frac{i}{p - m} + \frac{i}{p - m} \left[-i \Sigma(b) \right] \frac{i}{p - m} + \cdots$ fermion is $free \qquad 1PI self-energy$ 1PI self-energy 1PI (one particle irreducible) cannot be split into 2 by cutting just 1 line protree Again, (-i E (p) (all loops) is Feynman amplitude for 2-point

fermion function (not counting external line (propagators) - will show later: $\Sigma(p) \sim p + 1$ Las far as Dirac-space structure is concerned : coefficients not shown) $-So, \Sigma(p)(\sim p+1)$ $\frac{1}{\not P-m} = \frac{\not P+m}{p^2-m^2} \implies sum geometric$ series (see CL sec 2.1 or PS sec. 7.1/ to give $iS_F(tree + all loops) = \frac{i}{(p - m - \Sigma(p))}$) WT identity is really $\frac{q}{p}\left[\Gamma_{\mu}^{(0)}+\Gamma_{\mu}^{(00p)}\right]=Q\left\{\left[p-m-\Sigma(p)\right]\right\}$ all orders $\in \left[p - q - m - \epsilon (p - q) \right]$ propagators

 $= Q \mathcal{A} - Q \left[\mathcal{E}(p) - \mathcal{E}(p-p) \right]$

 $\Rightarrow \left[\frac{2^{\mu} \Gamma_{\mu}^{(loop)}}{2^{\mu}} = -Q \left[\Sigma(p) - \Sigma(p-q) \right] \right]$

WT identity relates loop amplitudes (vertex correction & Fermion self-energy): both being matrices in Dirac space Physical intuition/implications ; not so clear from above form, will show later leads to (a) photon remaining massless even at losp-level and (b) electron to proton electric charge ratio = 1 at loop-level, even

though electron & proton have different other interactions (e.g., strong force) Proof at one-loop with only QED interaction (without actually computing loops, which are actually divergent !] p p p + k v p $-i \geq (1)(p)$ from I matrix in Dirac-space $= (-ieQ)^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} V_{\mu}$ propagator (depends on gauge fixing parameter {

 $-ie \Gamma_{\mu}^{(\prime)}$ from p' = p - q $= (-ieQ)^{3} \times \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} i D^{\lambda \rho}(k) \times$ so that qu (1) (entering WT identity) $= (-ieQ)^2 Q \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} i D^{\lambda \rho}(k) \times$ $\frac{\gamma_{\lambda}}{(\cancel{p'+k'-m})} \frac{i}{(\cancel{p'+k'-m}) - (\cancel{p'+k'-m})} \times \frac{2^{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}}{2^{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}}$ $i D^{\lambda \rho}(k) \times$ $= (-ieQ)^2 Q \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}$

 $\gamma_{\lambda} \quad i \left[\frac{i}{(\not p' + \not k - m)} - \frac{i}{(\not p + \not k - m)} \right] \gamma_{\rho}$ $\Rightarrow 2^{\mu} \Gamma_{\mu}^{(\prime)}(P, P') = Q \left\{ - \Sigma^{(\prime)}(P) - \left[- \Sigma^{(\prime)}(P') \right] \right\}$... which is (loop form of/wT identity Some comments: (1) valid for all E (gauge fixing) (21. more general proof from gauge invariance (current conservation: (a) all loops in RED only (b) other gauge-invariant interactions, e.g., add h ¥ 4 \$ (Yukawa coupling): $VS. (\phi)$ (HW 1.1 : similar manipulation to above ...]

(31. Again, gauge invariance (coupling to photon from $\partial_{\mu} \rightarrow \partial_{\mu} + i e Q A \mu$ crucial... ... so, no analogous identity for (pure) Yukawa theorgy, i.e., coupling to ϕ : cannot relate (at least at all orders) to if (cf. photon ¢ (cf. photon earlier)