

QCD: [overview] of theory (color structure) phenomenology (strong/weak coupling interplay) (1)

- Here is the [Lagrangian] for quarks & gluons:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{pure gauge/gluon}} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a=1,2,\dots,8} G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{\mu\nu}_a, \text{ where}$$

$G_{\mu\nu}^a$ is gluon field strength {transforming as adjoint representation [ie, we have 8 ("a" index) gluons] under local SU(3) color symmetry}.

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{quark}}$ (focussing on gluon interaction/color structure)

$$= \sum_{i=1,2,3 \text{ (color)}} \left(\overline{q}^{\alpha} \right)_{i=1,2,\dots,6} i \left\{ \delta_{ij} \left[\not{\partial} + i g_s G_{\mu}^a \gamma^{\mu} \left(\frac{\lambda_a}{2} \right)_{\alpha\beta} \right] \right\}$$

flavor (= u, d, c, s, b, b)
 identity in color space
 flavor space
 QCD coupling constant
 SU(3) generators in triplet representation ("Gell-Mann" matrices)

+ $m_i \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$
 flavor-dependent

$\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ \times (EW gauge interactions)
 identity in color space
 from earlier discussion
 not " δ_{ij} ", since W_{μ}^{\pm} couple up to down-type quarks, that too of different generations (due to VCKM) (+ both axial and vector-type (L, R) couplings are different)



- Just to be clear, $\left[q_{\alpha}^i \right]$ denotes quark (2) of i^{th} flavor ($i=1,2,\dots,6$, corresponding to u, d, c, s, t, b) and $\alpha (=1,2,3)$ color index: it is a mass eigenstate [of mass m_i]

- Also, QCD interactions of quark (coupling to gluon) are flavor-independent (i.e. flavor "i" index goes along for the ride), but obviously non-trivial in color " α " space

- Similarly, EW gauge interactions don't affect color " α " index, but $[W_{\mu}^{\pm}]$ couplings mix-up flavor "i" index, since they couple up to down-type quarks, that too of different generations (due to VCKM). Neutral current (photon & Z_{μ}) exchanges of course ^{also} preserve flavor, i.e. $\propto \delta_{ij}$

- Finally, QCD couplings of quarks are (purely) vector-like, just like photon, while $[W_{\mu}^{\pm}]$ and $[Z_{\mu}]$ interactions are chiral [i.e., different for L, R, due to axial-vector & vector components]

- Representations of quarks & leptons are shown as: ^{Higgs doublet}

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ \uparrow \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, 2, +1/6; \quad u_R : (3, 1, 2/3); \quad d_R : (3, 1, -1/3)$$

color triplet

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, 2, -1/2; \quad e_R : (1, 1, -1); \quad \bar{\Phi} : (1, 2, +1/2)$$

color singlet [dropping generation index]

→ Onto overview of QCD phenomenology: recall that we have 2 energy regimes (due to asymptotic freedom), i.e., $[E_{UV} \gg \Lambda_{QCD} \sim \text{GeV}]$ (binding energy of hadrons), where QCD coupling constant is weak (perturbation theory is valid) vs. $[E_{IR} \lesssim \text{GeV}]$, where QCD is strongly-coupled (non-perturbative).

→ So, we will compute ^{(obviously) as usual} in perturbative regime $[E \gg \text{GeV}]$ in terms of quarks & gluons, but will ultimately have to "enter" 2nd regime in order to "convert" quarks/gluons into (observed) hadrons: the challenge will then be how to "circumvent/
handle" this last step (details in next note)

→ Here's the outline of 3 types of processes that we will study [again, all at initial/COM $[E \gg \text{GeV}]$]

(1). $[e^+ e^-] \rightarrow$ ^{all} hadrons (i.e., inclusive), i.e., no hadrons in initial state: the leading-order, underlying ^{(purely) $[E_{UV}]$} process is $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ (virtual) photon/Z μ $\rightarrow q \bar{q}$. QCD will ^{then} enter in 2 ways:

(a). short-distance effect (calculable analytically/ in perturbation theory) will modify cross-section for underlying / parton-level process by $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\alpha_s \ll 1}{4\pi}\right)$;

whereas, at (b). long-distances / non-perturbatively, QCD will (mostly) "convert / dress-up" q, \bar{q} into hadrons: since we sum over all hadrons in final state, probability for this

step is "simply" 1 (basically that's how we can still predict) (4)

(2). (Deep) inelastic scattering (DIS) λ , i.e., at energy transfer \gg GeV

$$e^- \text{ (or } \nu) + \text{[nucleus]} \rightarrow e^- \text{ (or } \nu) + \text{[hadrons]}$$

[i.e., one hadron in initial state] (i.e., nucleus breaks-up)

— Once again, underlying process is photon/Z μ /W μ

exchange between incoming lepton (e^- or ν) and (weakly-coupled) constituent (parton) of

nucleus, i.e., quark, (a) which is "corrected"

perturbatively by short-distance QCD effects

and (b) scattered quark materializes (along with

remnants (other) partons of nucleus) as (final-state) hadrons

due to long-distance / non-perturbative QCD processes [like for process (1)]

— Additionally [cf. process (1)], we have (one) hadron in initial state. So, (c) we need to know probability

to find a parton (in this case quark) inside initial hadron

with a given fraction of total momentum of hadron,

called probability/parton distribution function (PDF)

Obviously, PDF's cannot be computed analytically / perturbatively starting from QCD (i.e., these are long distance effects)

So, (rough) idea is we "extract" PDF's from one set of data ("input"); then, use these to predict other (observables)

(3). Hadron-hadron collisions : underlying (sub-)process

is scattering of (2) partons (quarks or gluons)

into a final state which may (or not) be hadrons [cf. processes (1) & (2) above]. So, in addition to above [3] ways QCD effects come in, i.e., (a). QCD short-distance corrections to underlying process; (b). dressing-up of final state - if they are partons - into hadrons [both being like processes (1) & (2)] and (c). inclusion of PDF's due to hadronic initial state [like in process (2)], we have 2 (or 3) "new" features, i.e., (c) use PDF's twice [vs. once in process (2)] due to [2] hadrons in initial state; (d). underlying process could be gluon exchange, in addition to EW gauge boson exchange (again, since we can have 2 quarks ^{1 gluons} in initial state): note that QCD short distance effects come in for both cases and (e). as already mentioned above, final state could be leptons, e.g., $q \bar{q} \rightarrow$ virtual photon / Z_μ / W_μ exchange

[called Drell, Yan DY production] \rightarrow $e^+ e^-$ or $e^- \bar{\nu}$

so that there is no dressing-up by non-perturbative QCD effects for final state: we will actually study such a process due to its relative simplicity

[In general, the discussion of details of above 3 processes that follows will be somewhat "sketchy": for more precise statements, see chapter 17 of Peskin, Schroeder]