

Detailed Electroweak (EW) theory/ unification: part I (bosonic sector) ①

— Next, we supply detailed formula corresponding to overview given earlier in the following order/outline:

- unbroken gauge symmetry/Lagrangian
- Higgs mechanism to give gauge boson masses; identify "bottomline" [i.e., mass] eigenstates [in particular photon and Z as admixtures of original neutral gauge bosons] and physical/leftover scalar (Higgs) boson
- add ① generation of fermions; determine how they couple to above gauge boson mass eigenstates (in particular, to "extra" Z boson)
- Yukawa couplings of fermions to Higgs field, giving fermion masses

"Starting" EW gauge sector

- We already did an overview in previous note, so here we just fill in details
- Gauge group is $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ (hypercharge)
- acts only on left chirality of SM fermions
- generators of $SU(2)_L$: we will only need

The doublet/fundamental representation for SM fermions / Higgs field, i.e., $\left(\frac{\sigma^a}{2}\right)$ ($a=1,2,3$)

— gauge bosons of $SU(2)_L$ are W_μ^a , while hypercharge gauge boson will be denoted by B_μ

— It is convenient to form combinations of $\sigma_{1,2}$:

$$\sigma_\pm \text{ (not Hermitian)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2),$$

corresponding to gauge bosons $W_\mu^\pm = \frac{W_1 \pm iW_2}{\sqrt{2}}$

(Note that structure constants in this basis are not completely antisymmetric.)

[Also, recall that $U(1)_Y$ commutes with $SU(2)_L$

so that its generator is simply $Y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in the doublet representation for SM

fermion & Higgs field, with hypercharge Y for entire doublet, i.e., both components.]

— Pure gauge Lagrangian = $-\frac{1}{4} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$

where $B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu$

and $W_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu W_\nu^a - \partial_\nu W_\mu^a - g f_{abc} W_\mu^b W_\nu^c$ (non-abelian)

$$a = \underbrace{+, -, 3}$$

new basis for $\sigma_{1,2}$

[Note that since f_{abc} are not real in new basis for σ^a 's, we need to use "dagger" of W_μ^a .]

Higgs mechanism (spontaneous gauge ^{EW} symmetry breaking) (3)

basically this is a suitable generalization of $U(1)$ only (as in lecture and (HW) 4) and $SU(2)$ only ((HW) 7.1.2) to combination of $SU(2)$ and $U(1)$

- We introduce a complex scalar doublet of $SU(2)_L$ with Y (hypercharge) = $(+\frac{1}{2})$, denoted by

$$\underline{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} : (2, \frac{1}{2}) \quad (\text{again, both } \phi_+ \text{ and } \phi_0 \text{ are complex}),$$

\swarrow $SU(2)_L$ \nwarrow $U(1)_Y$

where subscripts "+" and "0" on ϕ 's denote their electric charges (see later)

- Lagrangian for ϕ is (generalization of $U(1)$ [single complex scalar] case):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Phi} = (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} \Phi) - \mu^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - \lambda (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2, \text{ where}$$

$$\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi = \underbrace{(\phi_+^{\dagger} \quad \phi_0^{\dagger})}_{\text{"dagger"}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\phi_+^{\dagger} \phi_+ + \phi_0^{\dagger} \phi_0}_{= \phi_+^{\dagger}}$$

$$\text{and } D_{\mu} \Phi = \left[\partial_{\mu} + ig \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_a}{2} W_{\mu}^a}_{\text{generator of (matter) representation (doublet here)}} + ig' \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) B_{\mu} \right] \Phi$$

\rightarrow in general Y of matter field

$$= (2 \times 1 \text{ column vector}) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\mu} \phi_+ \\ \partial_{\mu} \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} + (\text{continued on next page})$$

$$\frac{i}{2} \begin{bmatrix} g W_\mu^3 + g' B_\mu & \sqrt{2} g W_\mu^+ \\ \sqrt{2} g W_\mu^- & -g W_\mu^3 + g' B_\mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

- As usual, we assume $\mu^2 < 0$ so that

$$\Phi_{\text{classical}} = \langle 0 | \Phi | 0 \rangle \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \chi$$

$$\text{and } v = \sqrt{-\mu^2 / \lambda}$$

constant doublet with

$$\chi^\dagger \chi = 1$$

- Using the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry, a general form of χ can be rotated into $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, so we assume (without loss of generality) $\langle 0 | \Phi | 0 \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$: this is of course consistent with ^{above} assignment of electric charge 0 to lower component of Φ [see later for more on this choice].

- Thus, symmetries generated by $T_{1,2}$ (generators for general representation) are broken, since $\sigma_{1,2} \Phi_{\text{classical}} \neq 0$ (again, see HW 5.3.2). Hence, we expect corresponding gauge bosons W_μ^\pm to be massive (as we will explicitly show below)

- Similarly, symmetries generated by T_3 [3rd component of $SU(2)_L$] and Y are separately broken, but their combination,

Q (which will be identified with electric charge) $= T_3 + Y$ is unbroken (i.e., photon-combination of W_3^a & B^a is massless):

$\sigma_3 \Phi_{\text{classical}} \neq 0$; $Y \Phi_{\text{classical}} \neq 0$; $Q = \left(\frac{\sigma_3}{2} + Y \right) \Phi_{\text{classical}} = 0$

Note: $\sigma_{\pm} \Phi_{\text{classical}} = 0$, only non-zero in 3rd column
 but σ_{\pm} is not Hermitian, so $\exp(-i\beta \sigma_{\pm})$ is not a unitary transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

← note this is Q of Φ_0

— So, as expected/promised, we have

$$[SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \longrightarrow U(1)_{EM}]$$

with massive W_{μ}^{\pm} and Z^{μ} (combination of B_{μ} and W_{μ}^3 which is orthogonal to photon) vs. massless photon (denoted by A_{μ})

— So we ^{now} understand "electric charge assignments" (Q) (sub-scripts)

given earlier: Φ_{\oplus} for upper component of Φ due to $Y = +1/2$ & $T_3 = +1/2$ vs. Φ_{\ominus} for lower component due to $Y = +1/2$, but $T_3 = -1/2$.

Similarly, W_{μ}^{\pm} due to $Y = 0$ [$U(1)_Y$ commutes with $SU(2)_L$ so that W_{μ}^a are not "charged" under $U(1)_Y$] and $T_3 = (\pm 1)$, since W_{μ}^a 's are triplet/adjoint under $SU(2)_L$ [see discussion

of pion-nucleon system, where π^\pm were shown to have T_3 eigenvalues ± 1 (6)

— Just to complete the story, if we choose instead $\Phi_{\text{classical}} = \begin{pmatrix} v/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then we "re-label" Φ as $\begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_- \end{pmatrix}$, still with $Y = +1/2$, but now

$Q = T_3 \ominus Y$. Alternately, we stick to $Q = T_3 + Y$, but choose $Y = \ominus 1/2$ for $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_- \end{pmatrix}$ ^{in order}

— Next, we work out gauge boson masses to verify above expectations

— Expressing (in linear representation) $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \frac{v+H+i\zeta}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ (with zero VEV's for H, ζ and ϕ_+), we

get the following mass² matrix for $W_a^\mu = 1, 2, 3$ and B_μ (as usual putting Φ to its VEV and picking gauge field from both " D_μ "'s in \mathcal{L}_Φ)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge mass}^2} = \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \begin{bmatrix} g W_\mu^3 + g' B_\mu & \sqrt{2} g W_\mu^+ \\ \sqrt{2} g W_\mu^- & -g W_\mu^3 + g' B_\mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \right\}^{\dagger} \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \leftarrow \text{column} \end{matrix}$$

$\times \left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{same} \\ \uparrow \\ \dots \end{matrix} \right\} \leftarrow \text{row}$

$$= \frac{1}{4} g^2 v^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \frac{1}{8} v^2 (-g W_\mu^3 + g' B_\mu)^2$$

— Clearly, $M_W = \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) g v$ (since $\mathcal{L}_{W^\pm \text{ mass}^2} = M_W^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-$ like for (complex) scalar)

- Whereas, in neutral sector, we define (7)
 [note this is a orthogonal transformation of
 W_3^μ, B^μ so that kinetic terms for A_μ, Z_μ
 (new basis) are still canonical]

$$Z_\mu = \cos \theta_w W_3^\mu - \sin \theta_w B_\mu$$

$$A_\mu = \sin \theta_w W_3^\mu + \cos \theta_w B_\mu,$$

where $\tan \theta_w = g'/g$ so that $M_A = 0$ (photon)

and $M_Z = \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) v \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$ (since $\mathcal{L}_{Z \text{ mass}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) M_Z^2 Z_\mu^2$,
 $= M_W / \cos \theta_w$ like for real scalar)

(again, as expected from one combination of T_3 & Y
 generators being unbroken)

- As a sanity check, let's make sure A_μ does
couple to charge $Q = T_3 + Y$ (in this process
 we will also determine "charge" of a field
 under Z_μ):

$$D_\mu \text{ [neutral or abelian part of } SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y]$$

$$= \partial_\mu + ig T_3 W_\mu^3 + ig' Y B_\mu \text{ [where } T_3 \text{ and } Y$$

are charges of fields on which D_μ acts]

$$= \partial_\mu + ig T_3 (\cos \theta_w Z_\mu + \sin \theta_w A_\mu) \leftarrow \text{using inverse of above}$$

$$+ ig' Y (-\sin \theta_w Z_\mu + \cos \theta_w A_\mu) \leftarrow \text{transformation}$$

$$= \partial_\mu + i \left(\frac{e}{g} \right) A_\mu (T_3 + Y), \text{ + } i \left(\frac{g}{2} \right) Z_\mu (T_3 - Q \sin^2 \theta_w),$$

electric charge, Q "Q_Z"

using $\tan \theta_w = g'/g$ and $Q = T_3 + Y$; we see that QED coupling constant, $e = gg'/\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$, (8)

while that of Z_μ is $g_Z = \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$, with

charge under Z , $Q_Z = T_3 - Q \sin^2 \theta_w$

- Thus, just to belabor the point, massless combination of T_3 & B_μ does ^{indeed} couple to electric charge

[Also, we will use ^{above} coupling to Z for discussing phenomenology of EW sector.]

- Just for the sake of completeness, let's briefly discuss scalar (spin-0) modes of EW sector

- Let's first do counting of ^{scalar} physical degrees of freedom (d.o.f.), i.e., in unitary gauge: we start with 4 real scalar fields (in 1 complex doublet Φ), but 3 of these are eaten by (massive) W^\pm & Z (becoming their longitudinal) polarizations (as usual) \Rightarrow

we have 1 physical scalar field leftover (called Higgs boson): at linear order, it is H of linear representation for Φ shown above

- We can explicitly and simply show above eating (going to unitary gauge) using ^{Φ 's} radial representation:

we did this for $U(1)$ in lecture; maybe you did (9)
 it for $SU(2)$ in HW 5.4 ^{and 7.1.2}; now it's $SU(2) \times U(1)$
 as in HW 8.2

- However, just like for $U(1)$ case discussed in lecture, for (manifest) renormalizability, it is better to use $[R_\xi]$ gauge, which includes the unphysical (would-be NGB's (again, eaten by massive gauge bosons), along with scalar / time-like polarizations of massive gauge bosons (also unphysical).

- To get to R_ξ gauge [again, just like for $U(1)$], we start with linear representation for Φ ; identify would-be NGB's by looking for quadratic / mixing term with 1 gauge boson and 1 scalar mode;

$$i M_W (W_\mu^+ \partial^\mu \phi_- - W_\mu^- \partial^\mu \phi_+) - M_Z Z_\mu \partial^\mu \xi (= \mathcal{L}_{\text{mix}})$$

which shows (as expected) that $[Z_\mu]$ eats $[\xi]$ while (W_μ^\pm) eats (ϕ_\pm) [just generalization of $U(1)$ to $SU(2) \times U(1)$]

- As with $U(1)$ case, we choose gauge-fixing terms to "get rid of" above mixing:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge-fixing}} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} |\partial_\mu W^{\mu+} + i\xi M_W \phi_+|^2 - \frac{1}{2\xi} (\partial_\mu Z^\mu + \xi M_Z \xi)^2$$

(which combine with above mixing terms to give total divergence, thus irrelevant).

- Finally, we have the would-be/unphysical NGB propagators:

$$i \Delta^{(\phi_{\pm})}(k) = \frac{i}{k^2 - \xi M_W^2} \quad \& \quad i \Delta^{(\eta)}(k) = \frac{i}{k^2 - \xi M_Z^2}$$

["Corresponding to" gauge boson propagators:

$$i D_{\mu\nu}^{(W)}(k) = \frac{-i}{k^2 - M_W^2 + i\epsilon} \left[g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{(1 - \xi) k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2 - \xi M_W^2} \right]$$

+ $W \rightarrow Z$,

which, as usual, scale as $\sim 1/k^2$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$, for fixed ξ , thus clarifying renormalizability

and Higgs boson (physical) propagator

$$i \Delta^{(H)}(k) = \frac{i}{k^2 - M_H^2}, \quad \text{with } \boxed{M_H^2 = 2\lambda v^2}$$

- As an aside, we comment on relation between W & Z masses based on custodial symmetry

- It is convenient to define ρ parameter as

$$\boxed{\rho \equiv M_W^2 / (M_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_W)}, \quad \text{where } \cos^2 \theta_W = \frac{g^2}{g^2 + g'^2}$$

$\boxed{= 1}$ (at tree-level) is SM theory prediction (i.e., with W, Z masses originating from VEV of complex scalar doublet)

[Indeed, experimentally $\rho = 1$ to a good approximation]

- Natural question is whether $\rho = 1$ even if

EWSB is done by scalar field in a different representation of SU(2) (?)

- Answer is (in general) "No", i.e., $\rho=1$ is rather specific to the case of doublet as follows

- For a complex doublet Φ , it is clear [see 8.1 ^(HW) for potential subtlety] that (at renormalizable level), $V(\Phi)$ is solely a function of $\Phi^\dagger \Phi$ (due to gauge invariance) = $\sum_{i=1}^4 |\phi_i|^2$, where ϕ_i are (4) real scalar fields (forming complex doublet)

- Thus (see HW 5.4 & 7.1.2), $V(\Phi)$ has larger than SU(2) ^(global) symmetry, i.e., SO(4) [\sim SU(2) x SU(2)] rotating 4 ϕ_i 's into each other: this is broken down to SO(3) ^(real) by VEV of one of the real ϕ_i 's, i.e., rotations among other 3 ϕ_i 's is still a symmetry [see HW 5.3/7.1.1 for SO(3) \rightarrow SO(2) version]

- The broken λ of (2) SU(2)'s of this larger SO(4) symmetry is gauged, thus corresponding gauge bosons ($W_{(1,2,3)}^\mu$) get masses

- However, the unbroken SO(3) or SU(2), under which W_μ 's transform as a triplet, ensure that they get identical mass terms; that's why the unbroken SU(2) is called "custodial" symmetry / isospin

- Of course, the full ^{EW} gauge symmetry is (12)

$SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$, which is broken down _{by Φ_{VEV}} to $U(1)_{EM}$, i.e.,

$W_{(3)}^\mu$ mixes with B_μ (hypercharge gauge boson), since Φ_{VEV} has non-zero hypercharge [while $W_{1,2}$ have no such counterpart]

- Hence, $M_{W(3)} \xrightarrow{g' \neq 0} M_Z \oplus M_{W_{1,2}}$ (or M_W)

which is why ρ is defined with factor of $\cos^2 \theta_W$ (i.e., that "takes care of" above $W_3^\mu - B^\mu$ mixing): gauging _{hypercharge thus} breaks _{even in SM} custodial symmetry

[again $M_Z \rightarrow M_W$ as $g' \rightarrow 0$ ($\cos \theta_W \rightarrow 1$), i.e., we remove hypercharge gauging, thus _{there is no μ} W_3 mixing with B_μ]

- In (HW) 8.4, you are asked to compute shift in ρ parameter due to contribution of a $SU(2)$ triplet VEV (which doesn't enjoy above custodial protection, thus is expected to give $\rho \neq 1$)

[Similarly, integrating out (heavy) new particles can give non-renormalizable terms, e.g., " Φ^6 " in $V(\Phi)$, which are not functions of simply $[\Phi^\dagger \Phi]$, thus also breaking custodial symmetry]