

Phenomenology (confirmation) of

EW theory / sector of SM ^(or model) } part (I): neglected
(overview) generational mixing

— So far, we have developed theory (of EW sector of SM, based in part on experimental observations of weak (charged current) and EM interactions ... plus ^{more} theoretical considerations (such as group theory, renormalizability etc.)

— Next, we study phenomenology, i.e., work out predictions of this theory for "future" experiments: needless to say, by now they have been verified ^{spectacularly} ! So far, ^{in our course,} we had "postdictions", i.e., the theory accomodated existing data.

— Indeed, EW theory (circa late 1960's) predicted "new" particles, i.e., W, Z & H bosons, which must be (much) heavier than the accessible energies ≤ 0(GeV) at that time (of course accounting for why these particles had not been seen on-shell so far.) (i.e., "real" production of)

— So, a "direct" confirmation of existence of these new particles would require (much) ^{for W, Z} higher energy colliders (which was realized in 1980's) and 2010's for Higgs boson

→ However, indirect effects of (i.e., from) (2) exchange of virtual ^{off-shell} heavy W, Z, H can be observed even at low energies: for example, 4-fermion charged current weak interaction from W_{μ}^{\pm} exchange (as in radioactive or muon decay: again, this is not really a "prediction" of EW theory) (again, local)

→ So, Z_{μ} exchange will give 4-fermion neutral current weak interaction at low energies: this is a prediction of EW theory, which was verified in 1970's, ^{indeed} serving as 1st confirmation of EW theory (we will discuss 2 examples of neutral currents where mere measurement of a non-zero value for observables is evidence for Z_{μ} exchange ^[i.e., not much accuracy is needed here] current)

→ Remarkably, these neutral measurements

→ done with (some) precision → also enabled complete of fixing values of 3 parameters of the EW theory, i.e., v, g, g' . Recall that G_F measured in β or muon-decay determines v , while e fixes one combination of g, g' so that a "3rd" measurement was needed to pin down the other combination of g, g' .

- As a slight detour, let's understand how (3) neutral current (again, Z_μ exchange) did this job: g_Z actually "cancels" between coupling at Z_μ vertex and M_Z^2 from propagator (at low energies), just like for W_μ exchange. So, overall coefficient of $\sqrt{\text{both cases}}$ 4-fermion operator is simply $\sim 1/v^2$ ($\sim G_F$).

- However, $Q_Z^V = T_{3L} - 2Q \sin^2 \theta_W$ (but not $Q_Z^A = T_{3L}$) depends on a different combination of g, g' than does e , i.e., $\sin^2 \theta_W = g'^2 / (g^2 + g'^2)$ vs. $e = gg' / \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$, so that measurement of $\frac{1}{2}$ neutral currents (i.e., $\lambda^{\text{from } Z}$ & photon exchange) gives us g & g' separately.

- Once g, g' & v are known as above, then EW theory also predicts details of properties (e.g., masses: $M_{W, Z} \sim g, g_Z v$ & couplings: Q_Z^V for other than those in measurement used above - fermions), i.e., not just existence of these particles (as a result of neutral current measurements).

- Again, $M_{W, Z}$ were predicted in 1970's so that future higher energy collider experiments could do a targeted search for these particles; indeed W, Z were discovered with these masses in 1980's.

- Verification of other properties of W, Z (4) followed in early 1990's

- At even higher energy experiments in late 1990's/early 2000's, pairs of (W, Z) were ^(directly/on-shell) produced, in part, "using" $[Z W^+ W^-]$ vertex, i.e., tri-linear gauge interaction, a tell-tale feature of non-abelian nature of EW gauge theory [cf. this is absent in QED. Also, $Z W^+ W^-$ is difficult to probe at low energies, i.e., in virtual exchanges of W, Z .]

- Finally, another energy upgrade (i.e., LHC) led to discovery of Higgs boson (in 2012) and studies of its properties

- Just out of curiosity/for completeness' sake,

(4) Nobel prizes are part of above history:

Glashow, Salam, Weinberg for developing EW theory [awarded in 1979, i.e., of course after actual confirmation of neutral currents, but before W, Z discovery!]; Rubbia, van der Meer (experimentalists)

for W, Z discovery (in 1984); 't Hooft, Veltman in 1999 for ^(theoretical) precision calculations of EW theory

and Higgs, Englert in 2013 for ^(theory) prediction of Higgs boson

Outline of phenomenology topics/processes (5)

[in above order increasing energy, i.e., chronological starting with earliest]

- (1). Low-energy neutrino (ν) scattering } confirmation of EW theory + led to M_W, Z
- (2). Forward-backward asymmetry in $[e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-]$ at low energies } prediction (1970's)
- (3). Decay width of $[Z]$ boson } verification of W, Z properties in early 1990's (post their discovery in 1980's)
[e^+e^- collisions at energy $= M_Z$ (on-shell Z) in LEP1/CERN experiment]
- (4). $e^+e^- \rightarrow [W^+W^-]$ @ LEP(2) } (late) 1990's: confirmation of W^+W^-Z (non-abelian) vertex
[i.e., higher energy: $\approx 2M_W$]
- (5). Higgs boson production and decay } ≈ 2010 's: verification of $[EWSB]$ mechanism calculation/
at $[LHC]$ (pp collisions) and future e^+e^- colliders

[Just as heads-up, we will skip details of algebra in these notes, leaving them for HW (official or informal) and/or textbook.]

→ Part II will deal with flavor sector (stemming from SM fermion mass terms / Yukawa couplings)