Nuclear structure and the anapole moment in francium; experiments and proposals . Luis A. Orozco UMD Work done in collaboration with Prof. Gene Sprouse from SUNYSB And Prof. David DeMille from Yale University.

My group: Present students: Eduardo Gomez Florian Baumer Almar Lange

Supported by NSF

	IA																	0
1	1 H	IIA	_										IIIA	IVA	٧A	VIA	VIIA	2 He
2	3 Li	4 Be											5 B	⁶ С	7 N	8 0	9 F	10 Ne
3	11 Na	12 Mg	ШB	IYB	٧B	YIB	VIIB		— VII —		IB	IB	13 Al	14 Si	15 P	16 S	17 CI	18 Ar
4	19 K	20 Ca	21 Sc	22 Ti	23 ¥	24 Cr	25 Mn	26 Fe	27 Co	28 Ni	29 Cu	30 Zn	31 Ga	32 Ge	33 As	34 Se	35 Br	36 Kr
5	37 Rb	38 Sr	39 Y	40 Zr	41 ND	42 Mo	43 Tc	44 Ru	45 Rh	46 Pd	47 Ag	48 Cd	49 In	⁵⁰ Sn	51 Sb	52 Te	53 	54 Xe
6	55 Cs	56 Ba	57 *La	72 Hf	73 Ta	74 ₩	75 Re	76 Os	77 Ir	78 Pt	79 Au	80 Hg	81 TI	82 Pb	83 Bi	84 Po	85 At	86 Rn
7	87 Fr	88 Ra	89 +Ac	104 Rf	105 Ha	106 106	107 107	108 108	109 109	110 110	111 111	112 112						

Naming conventions of new elements

*Lanthanide	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71
Series	Ce	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu
+ Actinide	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103
Series	Th	Pa	U	Np	Pu	Am	Cm	Bk	Cf	Es	Fm	Md	No	Lr

A Brief History of Francium at Stony Brook

- **1991-94:** Construction of 1st production and trapping apparatus.
- **1995:** Produced and Trapped Francium in a MOT.
- **1996-2000:** Laser spectroscopy of Francium ($8S_{1/2}$, $7P_{1/2}$, $7D_{5/2}$, $7D_{3/2}$, hyperfine anomaly).

- **2000-2002:** High efficiency trap.
- **2003:** Spectroscopy of $9S_{1/2}$ 8p levels,
- 2004: Study of 8s levels (polarizablitiy).



2,000 atom Fr MOT



250,000 atom Fr MOT





$7P_{1/2}$ lifetime of Fr





FIG. 8. Comparison of the absolute values of the $7S_{1/2}$ $\rightarrow 7P_{1/2,3/2}$ transition radial matrix element with *ab initio* calculations from Refs. [7,9].

The magnetic hyperfine structure is from the interaction of nuclear magnetic moment and the magnetic field of electrons at the nucleus. *A* depends upon $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mu_{I}$, the ratio A_{7s} / A_{7p} is isotope dependent.





Figure 3.12: Model wavefunctions in the nucleus of neutrons, the last unpaired proton, and $S_{1/2}$ and $P_{1/2}$ electrons. The nucleon probability densities should be read from the left axis and the electron probability densities should be read from the right axis. The electron probability densities have been normalized to be 1 at r=0.





Fluorescence from the two $7P_{1/2}$ hyperfine states excited with FM sidebands





Hyperfine Anomaly



"In the Glashow Weinberg Salam theory of the electroweak interaction, for each channel there is always a Z channel accompanying it. At low energy, the Z channel is suppressed by a factor of $Q^2/M^2(Z)$ where Q is the momentum transferred and M(Z) is the mass of the Z particle. Nevertheless, this tiny effect can be detected if it is enhanced by some mechanism."

Langacker



For a vector-axial vector type weak force, the Lagrangian for the parity violating quark-electron interaction in the low energy limit is:

$$\mathsf{L} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=u,d} \left[C_{1i} \overline{e} \, \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 e \overline{q}_i \gamma_{\mu} q_i + C_{2i} \overline{e} \, \gamma^{\mu} e \overline{q}_i \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^5 q_i \right]$$

$$A_e - V_N$$
 $V_e - A_N$

 $C_{1u,d}$ strength of the interaction of the electronic axial vector with the hadronic vector current. $C_{2u,d}$ has the hadronic axial vector with the electronic vector current. At tree-level the standard model predicts with [x=sin² $\theta_w(M_z)\approx 0.2323$]

$$C_{1u} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{3} x \right)$$
$$C_{1d} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{4}{3} x \right)$$

$$H = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} (\kappa_{1i} \gamma_5 - \kappa_{nsd,i} \boldsymbol{\sigma_n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \delta(\mathbf{r}),$$

The nuclear spin dependent contribution has three parts:

An electron interacts weakly with a single valence nucleon (nucleon axial-vector current $A_n V_e$

The nuclear chiral current created by weak interactions between nucleons (anapole moment).

The combined action of the hyperfine interaction and the spinindependent Z⁰ exchange interaction from nucleon vector currents (V_nA_e) .

$$H_{PNC}^{nsd} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{KI \cdot \alpha}{I(I+1)} \kappa_{nsd} \delta(r),$$
$$K - 1/2 \qquad I+1$$

$$\kappa_{nsd} = \kappa_a - \frac{1}{K} \kappa_2 + \frac{1}{K} \kappa_{Q_W},$$

 $\kappa_2 \sim -0.05$ in ²⁰⁹Fr, $\kappa_a/\kappa_{Q_W} \simeq 15$.

 $K = (I+1/2)(-1)^{I+1/2-l}$



 $g_p \sim 4$

$0.2 < g_n < 1$ for neutrons

The Anapole Moment

1958 Zel'dovich, Vaks1980 Khriplovich, Flambaum1997 Boulder experiment



It can be thought as a "weak radiative correction". The nuclear wave function has parity violating components ($V_e A_N$). It has to be probed inside the nucleus by an electromagnetic interaction.



The chirality of an atom arising from the neutral current weak interaction between the electron and a nucleon can be shown by plotting the electron probability current density for a given atomic state, shown here for the $2p_{1/2}$ state in hydrogen. Under a parity transformation, or equivalently under mirror reversal, the helicity of the streamlines is reversed: the atom is fundamentally handed. (After R. A. Hegstrom et al, Am. J. Phys. 56,1086, 1988).

See: Fortson Group - Atomic Chirality http://www.phys.washington.edu/~fortson/chiral.html The wavefunction of a nucleon violates parity and so its current has a certain chirality. The current can be separated in two components. One an axial rotation and the second a current flowing in a torus. It is this last part that generates a magnetic field inside the nucleus. It changes the magnetization in a chiral form and manifests itself differently depending on the hyperfine state of the interacting nucleus-electron.





Estimated anapole moment effective constat for the light Fr isotopes



METHOD

•Optically prepare the atoms in a particular m sublevel of the ground state, tip by an angle(θ) the population with a Raman pulses that we provide in just as a microwave M1 transition that is orthogonal to the E1 cavity.

•A second longer pulse will be applied from the <u>E1 cavity</u>. The probability of making the transition is proportional to $|M1(\theta)B_R + d_{pnc}E_{rf}|^2$ which has an interference term that changes with the handedness of the coordinate axes.

$$S \sim |M1(\theta)B_R + d_{pnc}E_{rf}|^2 - |M1(\theta)B_R - d_{pnc}E_{rf}|^2$$

 $S \sim 2M1(\theta)B_R d_{pnc}E_{rf}$

Noise~M1(θ)B_R²

The <u>handedness</u> is determined by the *static magnetic field* (to lift the degeneracy of the Zeeman sublevels) and the *polarizations* of microwave electric and Raman fields (equivalent to a microwave magnetic field). This establishes a pseudo-scalar proportional to the measured quantity $i(E \times (E_{R1} \times E_{R2})) \cdot B$

Measure the transferred population turning the dipole trap off and using optical techniques in the appropriate cycling transition that will produce a very high detection efficiency.

Signal-to-noise

The experiment measures the transition probability:

$$P_{|g2\rangle} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \Omega \Delta t \right)$$

The expected shot noise limited signal-to-noise for detecting this rate is

$$\frac{Signal}{Noise} = 2\Omega \sqrt{\Delta t} \sqrt{N}$$
$$\cong 1 \quad \left(\sqrt{Hz}\right)^{-1}$$

The final number is for 10^6 atoms in an rf E1 field of 1kVolts/m, and $\Delta t \sim 1$ s per cycle. For 10^4 cycles one can reach a 1% measurement.

Hardware advances for the Anapole measurement

Prototype Microwave Fabry-Perot.



Microwave Cavity Transmission Profile



Road Map for Fr project

•Measurement of the anapole moment of a chain of Fr isotopes through the E1 forbidden hyperfine transition.

•Shot noise limited signal-to-noise better than 1 (Hz) $^{-1/2}$.

•Calculations of atomic and nuclear structure will allow the extraction of coupling constants.

References for anapole moment proposal:

C.E. Loving, and P. G. H. Sandars, J. Phys. B. **10**, 2755 (1977). V. G. Gorshkov, V. F. Ezhov, M. G. Kozlov, and A. I. Mikhailov, Sov. J. Nucl.

Phys. 48, 867 (1988).

D. Budker, in Physics Beyond the Standard Model, ed. P. Herczeg, C. M. Hoffman, and H. V. Plapdor-Klinfronthaus (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).

I. B. Khriplovich, Parity Nonconservation in Atomic Phenomena (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, 1991).

C. S. Wood, S. C. Bennett, D. Cho, B. P. Masterson, J. L. Roberts, C. E. Tanner, and C. Wieman, Science **275**, 1759 (1997); W. C. Haxton and C. E. Wieman, Nucl-th/0104026.

P. A. Vetter, D. M. Meekhof, P. K. Majumder, S. K. Lamoreaux, and E. N. Fortson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2658 (1995).

E. N. Fortson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2383 (1993).

S. G. Porsev, M. G. Kozlov, Phys. Rev. A 64, 064101 (2001).

S. Aubin, E. Gomez, J. M. Grossman, L. A. Orozco, M. R. Pearson, G. D. Sprouse, in proceedings of ICOLS01, World Scientific, 2001.