

Lecture 28, Nov. 4 (Wed.) & part I

of lecture 29, Nov. 6 (Fri.)

Outline for today (& Fri.)

- finish general features of radial solutions

- onto specific examples:

- 3 d free particle
(also ∞ spherical well)

- 3 d isotropic SHO
(general/anisotropic
in HW 6.3)

from
spherical
coordinates/
angular
momentum
viewpoint
(vs. Cartesian
earlier)

- One-electron atom (Coulomb potential)

x

General features of $u_{El}(r)$

- $r \rightarrow 0$ studied earlier: $u_{El} \propto r^{l+1}$

Other asymptotics: $r \rightarrow \infty$

Assume $V(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$

$\Rightarrow V_{\text{eff}}(r) \rightarrow 0$ also, since
 $l(l+1)\hbar^2/(2mr^2) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$

\Rightarrow need $E < 0$ for bound states
($E \geq 0$ will "escape" potential)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d^2 u_E}{dr^2} = \kappa^2 u_E, \quad \kappa^2 = \frac{-2mE}{\hbar^2} > 0$$

no "l"
($\kappa > 0$)

(as $r \rightarrow \infty$)

i.e., $u_E \propto e^{-\kappa r} + e^{+\kappa r}$

can't normalize: volume element also $\rightarrow \infty$, so drop
- Use $\rho \equiv \kappa r$ (dimensionless):

combining $r \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$ limits

$$u_{El}(\rho) = \rho^{l+1} \times w(\rho) \times e^{-\rho}$$

(short-distance) - behaved "well" (long-distance)

so that $w(\rho)$ satisfies

$$\frac{d^2 w}{d\rho^2} + 2 \left(\frac{\ell+1}{\rho} - 1 \right) \frac{dw}{d\rho} + \left[\frac{V}{E} - \frac{2(\ell+1)}{\rho} \right] w = 0$$

solution for w depends on

$V(r = \rho/k)$, e.g., ^{use for} Coulomb potential

Warm-up with free 3d particle
and ∞ spherical well

(done earlier using Cartesian)

Since $V=0$, just use original

radial equation [can we use for all r]

$w = A r^{\ell+1} + B r^{-\ell}$, since $V=0$? No: due to

"E" term in DE, "A-B" solution still valid only for $r \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{d^2 R}{d\rho^2} + \frac{2}{\rho} \frac{dR}{d\rho} + \left[1 - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{\rho^2} \right] R = 0$$

$$\left[\rho = k r, \quad E \equiv \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \right]$$

— Solutions are spherical Bessel:

$$j_l(\rho) = (-\rho)^l \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} \right]^l (\sin \rho / \rho)$$

$\rightarrow \rho^l$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$ ("sensible")

$$\& n_l(\rho) = -(-\rho)^l \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} \right]^l (\cos \rho / \rho)$$

$\rightarrow \rho^{-l-1}$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$ (problematic)

\Rightarrow keep only j_l ($\propto r^l$): matches earlier general discussion: $j_l \sim A \dots$ of u , $n_l \sim B \dots$

$$\left[j_0(\rho) = \sin \rho / \rho ; j_1(\rho) = \frac{\sin \rho}{\rho} - \frac{\cos \rho}{\rho} \dots \right]$$

On to ∞ spherical well:

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r < a \\ \infty & \text{for } r > a \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow wavefunction $[\propto j_l(\rho)] = 0$
(at $r = a$)

i.e., for given l , $j_l(ka) = 0$

$\Rightarrow ka = \text{zeros of } j_l$

[e.g., $l=0 \Rightarrow ka = \pi, 2\pi, 3\pi$]
 $j_0(\rho) = \frac{\sin \rho}{\rho}$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} ka=0 \text{ "absent",} \\ \text{since } j_0(0) \rightarrow 1 \end{array} \right.$

$$\Rightarrow E_{l=0} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2ma^2} [\pi^2, (2\pi)^2, (3\pi)^2]$$

(Numerically for $l > 0$)

In general, different l 's
not degenerate (unless
different order spherical
Bessel functions - coincidentally-
have same zero), cf. 3D isotropic
SHO or one-electron atom below

Isotropic SHO in 3d :

$$H = |\vec{p}|^2 / (2m) + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 r^2$$

spectrum already obtained
in HW 6.3 using Cartesian, in
fact for anisotropic case :

$$H = H_x + H_y + H_z$$

(sum of independent SHO in each
dimension, that too different
 ω in general ... but here same ω :

$$H_i (i = x, y, z) = a_i^\dagger a_i + \frac{1}{2} \dots$$

... eigenstate labeled by (n_x, n_y, n_z)

with energy eigenvalues :

$$\frac{E}{\hbar \omega} = \underbrace{n_x + \frac{1}{2}}_{\text{SHO} - x} + \underbrace{n_y + \frac{1}{2}}_{\dots y} + \underbrace{n_z + \frac{1}{2}}_{\dots z}$$

$$= \left(N + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hbar \omega, \quad N = n_x + n_y + n_z$$

Degeneracy: (1). $N = 0$: $n_x = n_y = n_z = 0$
only $\boxed{1}$ state

(2). $N = 1$: $\boxed{3}$ states (one $n = 1$, others 0)

(3). $N = 2$: one $n = 2$, other 2 n 's = 0 \Rightarrow 3 states
or 1 $n = 0$, other 2 n 's = 1 \Rightarrow 3 states: $\boxed{\text{total} = 6}$

— "Check" using spherical coordinates
(angular momentum eigenstates)

— dimensionless variables:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad r = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}} \rho$$

["similar" to 1d SHO: why

not use $\rho = \kappa r$ of general strategy?! No because $V \rightarrow 0$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$]

\Rightarrow radial equation:
$$\frac{d^2 u}{d\rho^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} u + (\lambda - \rho^2) u = 0$$

Factor out short & long distance:

$$u(\rho) = \underbrace{f(\rho)}_{\text{well-behaved}} \times \underbrace{\rho^{\ell+1}}_{\text{short distance}} \underbrace{e^{-\rho^2/2}}_{\text{long... (like 1d)}}$$

(as $r \rightarrow 0$ or ∞)

like
general case

[why not
 $e^{-\rho}$?

$$\left(r^2 V \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0 \right)$$

$$\left(V(r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty \right)$$

\Rightarrow DE (differential equation) for $f(\rho)$ (fixed ℓ):

$$\rho \frac{d^2 f}{d\rho^2} + 2[(\ell+1) - \rho^2] \frac{df}{d\rho} + [\lambda - (2\ell+3)] \rho f = 0$$

Goal: For given ℓ , what values of λ are "needed" to get "reasonable" solution for u ?

- Try series solution for $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \rho^n$

-(You know the drill!) Plug into DE;

set coefficient of each power of $\rho = 0$

$$(0) \cdot \rho^0 \text{ gives } 2(\ell+1)a_1 = 0$$

{ only middle term : $2[(\ell+1) - \cancel{\rho^2}](a_1 + 2a_2\rho + \dots)$ of DE }

$\ell \geq 0 \Rightarrow (\ell+1) \geq 1 (\neq 0)$ so that

$$a_1 = 0$$

(from 3rd term)

(1) a_2 (from 1st, middle terms) related to a_0 by ρ^1 coefficient = 0

$$\rho^1 2a_2 + 2(\ell+1)\rho^1(2a_2) + [\lambda - (2\ell+3)] \times \rho^1 a_0 = 0$$

(set a_0 by normalization) ... in general, coefficient of $\rho^{n+1} = 0$ ($n=0$ above)

$$= \underbrace{(n+2)(n+1)a_{n+2} + 2(\ell+1)(n+2)a_{n+2}}_{\text{from 1st DE term}} - \underbrace{2na_n}_{\text{from 2nd ...}}$$

$$+ [\lambda - (2\ell+3)] a_n \text{ } \left. \vphantom{+} \right\} \text{from 3rd ...}$$

\Rightarrow recursion relation :

$$a_{n+2} = a_n \frac{(2n+2l+3-\lambda)}{(n+2)(n+2l+3)}$$

$$a_1 = 0 \Rightarrow a_{3,5,\dots} = 0 \quad (a_{\text{odd}} = 0)$$

so, only even powers of ρ in $f(\rho)$

— More importantly, $\frac{a_{n+2}}{a_n} \rightarrow \frac{2}{n} = \frac{1}{q}$
 (as $n \rightarrow \infty$)

[q is integer (even/odd), since n even]

$$a_{n+2} = \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{2}{n-2} a_{n-2} \right) = \frac{2}{n} \frac{2}{n-2} \frac{2}{n-4} \dots \frac{2}{q-2} a_{q-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_q \rightarrow \frac{1}{q!} \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty$$

so that $f(\rho) \propto \sum_q (\rho^2)^q \times \frac{1}{q!}$

$$\sim \exp(+\rho^2) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for } \rho \rightarrow \infty$$

So, require series to end
 for normalizable solution

\Rightarrow for given λ, l , "must" have $n = 2q$ such that $\left(\frac{a_{n+2}}{a_n} \propto\right) = (2n + 2l + 3 - \lambda) = 0$
 $(a_{n+2} \& \text{ subsequent } a\text{'s vanish, i.e., } f \text{ is polynomial})$

\Rightarrow flipping, λ must be $(2n + 2l + 3)$ for some (even) $n = 2q$

energy eigenvalues, $E_{q,l} = \hbar\omega = (N + 3/2)\hbar\omega$

where $N = (2q + l)$, since $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
 $\& (2q) = 0, 2, 4, \dots \Rightarrow N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
 $(N \text{ is principal quantum number: nodes in radial function})$

... agrees with Cartesian way:

check degeneracies also agree:

(1). $N = 0 : q = 0 = l$ (1 state)

(2). $N = 1 : q = 0, l = 1$ (3 states)

(3) $N = 2 : q = 0, l = 2$ (5 states)
or $q = 2, l = 0$ (1 state): total = 6

(different l 's give same E ,
unlike ∞ well, like one-electron atom)

- Also, for odd/even N ,
only odd/even values of l

(since $N = \underbrace{2q}_{} + l$)

\Rightarrow parity of wavefunction $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(sign flip/not} \\ \end{array} \right\}$

for $\bar{r} \rightarrow -\bar{r}$) is odd/even as per l odd/even, thus $N \dots$ here

— Energy eigenstate labeled $|l m\rangle$ or $|N \underbrace{l m}_{\text{angular part}}\rangle$
vs. $|n_x n_y n_z\rangle$ in Cartesian

— More in HW 8.4 (3d: "relating" $|n_x, n_y, n_z\rangle$ to (l, m)) & HW 8.1 (2d)

— use 3d isotropic SHO as

approximation to potential well of finite depth ($V(r)$

becomes large "gradually, cf. ∞ well), e.g., nuclear

Shell model: protons & neutrons motion in "collective" potential due to all nucleons