

Lecture [26], Oct. 30 (Fri.)

Outline for today (& next weeks)

- orbital angular momentum/spherical harmonics from **rotations viewpoint** (re-deriving "old" results):
sec. 3.6 of Sakurai
- Solving Schrödinger's wave equation for **spherically symmetric potential**:
(back to) 3D free particle & (isotropic)
SHO (**angular momentum viewpoint**);
Coulomb; ∞ well (sec. 3.7 of Sakurai)
- **Addition of angular momenta**:
sec. 3.8 of Sakurai

\vec{x}

What has $\vec{L} = \vec{x} \times \vec{p}$ (operator) to do with rotations?

(i) Commutation relations of \vec{L} :

use $[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}$; $[x_i, x_j] = [\phi_i, \phi_j] = 0$

to get : $[L_i, L_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} L_k$

i.e., those of angular momentum (\vec{J})

do not commute

whereas $[y p_z, x p_z] = 0 = [z p_y, z p_x]$

$$= y p_x \underbrace{[p_z, z]}_{-i\hbar} + p_y x \underbrace{[z, p_z]}_{+i\hbar}$$

$$= i\hbar (x p_y - y p_x) = i\hbar L_z$$

... similarly, $[L_z, L_x] \propto [L_y, L_z]$

(ii) L ("made of" x, \vec{p}) acts on position eigenket, rotating it infinitesimally (using action of ϕ on position eigenkets)

$$\left[1 - i \left(\frac{\delta \phi}{\hbar} \right) L_z \right] |x', y', z'\rangle$$

$$= \left[1 - i \left(\frac{\delta \phi}{\hbar} \right) (x \cancel{p}_y - y \cancel{p}_x) \right] |x', y', z'\rangle$$

switch

$$= \left[1 - i \left(\frac{\delta \phi}{\hbar} \right) (p_y x - p_x y) \right] |x', y', z'\rangle$$

$$= \left[1 - i \left(\frac{p_y}{\hbar} \right) (\delta \phi x') + i \left(\frac{p_x}{\hbar} \right) (\delta \phi y') \right] |x', y', z'\rangle$$

Recall : $\left[1 - i \left(\frac{p}{\hbar} \right) (\delta x') \right] |x'\rangle = |x' + \delta x'\rangle$ { in 1d. }

$$= |x' - y' \delta \phi, y' + x' \delta \phi, z'\rangle$$

\Rightarrow infinitesimal rotation about z-axis [if \vec{p} generates translation, then $\vec{L} = (\vec{x} \times \vec{p})$ generates rotations]

- So, \vec{L} is indeed "J" ("same" footing as S)

- Use "new" property of \vec{L} ("forget" its origin as $(\vec{x} \times \vec{p})$) to reproduce "old" results :

- How does \vec{L} "act" on wavefunctions?

[again, from $\vec{L} = (\vec{x} \times \vec{p})$, we already

know $L_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ &

$|\vec{L}|^2$ is angular part of $\vec{\nabla}^2$]

- want "analog" of $\langle x' | p | \alpha \rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \underbrace{\langle x' | \alpha \rangle}_{\psi_\alpha(x')}$

- infinitesimal rotation about z-axis
of a ket :

$$\langle x', y', z' | \left[1 - i \frac{(\delta\phi)}{\hbar} L_z \right] |\alpha\rangle$$

← rotated
ket

(use action of L on position eigenkets)

[use $\langle \alpha | x \rangle \leftrightarrow x^+ |\alpha\rangle$] unchanged

$$= (x' + y'\delta\phi, y' - x'\delta\phi, z' | \alpha\rangle$$

- switch to spherical : $\langle x', y', z' | \rightarrow \langle r, \theta, \phi |$

$$\langle r, \theta, \phi | \left[1 - i \frac{(\delta\phi)}{\hbar} L_z \right] |\alpha\rangle = \langle r, \theta, \phi - \delta\phi | \alpha\rangle$$

$$\approx \langle r, \theta, \phi | \alpha \rangle - \delta\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \langle r, \theta, \phi | \alpha \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \bar{x}' | L_z | \alpha \rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \langle x' | \alpha \rangle$$

$$[L_z \stackrel{\text{"}}{=} -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}]$$

"acting on" wavefunctions

- Similarly, $\delta \phi_x$ rotation about x -axis:

$$\langle x', y', z' | \left[1 - i \left(\frac{\delta \phi}{\hbar} \right) L_x \right] | \alpha \rangle = \langle x', y' + z' \delta \phi, z' - y' \delta \phi | \alpha \rangle;$$

express x', y', z' in terms of r, θ, ϕ (including derivatives) to give

$$\langle \bar{x}' | L_x | \alpha \rangle = -i\hbar \left(-\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \langle x' | \alpha \rangle$$

$$\text{and } \langle \bar{x}' | L_y | \alpha \rangle = -i\hbar \left(\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \langle \bar{x}' | \alpha \rangle$$

- As usual, raising/lowering operators:

$$L_{\pm} = L_x \mp i L_y \text{ so that}$$

$$\langle \bar{x}' | L_{\pm} | \alpha \rangle = -i\hbar e^{\pm i\phi} \left(\pm i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \langle \bar{x}' | \alpha \rangle$$

- Finally $|L|^2 = L_z^2 + \frac{1}{2} (L_+ L_- + L_- L_+)$:

$$\langle \bar{x}' | |L|^2 | \alpha \rangle = -\hbar^2 \left[\frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) \right] \langle \bar{x}' | \alpha \rangle$$

$$|\bar{L}|^2 (" \text{upto} " - \hbar^2 r^2) = " \text{angular part} \\ \text{of } \bar{\nabla}^2$$

- Note: above only used \bar{L} generates rotation [and **not** $\bar{L} = \bar{x} \times \bar{p}$ per say]
 - ... can get $\bar{L}, |\bar{L}|^2$ action on wavefunction also from $\bar{L} = (\bar{x} \times \bar{P})$, with $\bar{P} = " -i\hbar \bar{\nabla} "$
- Actually, more "physically/elegantly", $|\bar{L}|^2$ action on wavefunction via "old" picture:

$$|\bar{L}|^2 = |\bar{x}|^2 |\bar{P}|^2 - (\bar{x} \cdot \bar{P})^2 + i\hbar \bar{x} \cdot \bar{P}$$

$$[\text{using } \bar{L} = (\bar{x} \times \bar{P})] \dots$$

$$\leftarrow = " -\hbar^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 \right.$$

$$\langle \bar{x}' | |\bar{L}|^2 | \alpha \rangle = r^2 \langle \bar{x}' | |\bar{P}|^2 | \alpha \rangle + \hbar^2 \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{radial} \\ \text{part of } \bar{\nabla}^2 \\ (\text{upto } r^2) \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{l} r^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \langle x' | \alpha \rangle \\ + 2r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \langle x' | \alpha \rangle \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rightarrow \langle \bar{x}' | |\bar{L}|^2 | \alpha \rangle = \text{angular part of } \bar{\nabla}^2, \\ " \text{upto} " - \hbar^2 r^2 \\ \dots \text{as before}$$

Similarly, new viewpoint
on spherical harmonics: $Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$

- "Old" way: Y_ℓ^m appear when solving wave equation (e.g. Schroedinger's) or Laplace's equation (e.g., electrostatic potential in charge free region), with (ℓ, m) as separation constants (no rotations/angular momentum connection per say) ...
- ... whereas now see (angular) functions parametrized by (ℓ, m) as angular momentum eigenvalues must be present due to rotational invariance
- "define" $Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$ in terms of $|l, m\rangle$ (angular momentum eigenkets),

"recovering" usual/known properties
of Y_e^m based on those of $|l, m\rangle$

— Also, rotation matrices, $D_{m'm}^{(l)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$
"related to" $Y_e^m(\theta, \phi)$...

————— X —————

Earlier exposure to $Y_e^m(\theta, \phi)$; e.g.,
solving Schroedinger's wave equation
for potential which is **spherically symmetric**
by **separation of variables** in

coordinates : energy eigenstates look like

$$\langle \bar{x}' | n, l, m \rangle = R_{nl}(r) \times$$

r, θ, ϕ \leftarrow \downarrow
"radial/principal"
quantum number
(e.g. one electron atom)

$Y_e^m(\theta, \phi)$

l, m : separation constants...

... but also angular momentum eigenvalues as per new viewpoint
 ... what's connection?

Rotational invariance : $H = V(r \text{ only}) + |L|^2/(2m)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= "V(r) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\text{radial part of } \vec{D}^{12} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\text{angular part of } \vec{D}^{12} \right) \left[\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \right] |L|^2 / (2mr^2) \\ \Rightarrow [H, |L|^2] &= 0 = [H, L_z] \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow energy eigenstates are also eigenkets of $|L_1|^2, L_z$ (but not $L_{x,y}$)

& L_k 's commute "like" J_k 's

\Rightarrow eigenvalues of $|L_1|^2, L_z$ are $(l+1)\hbar^2$ & $m\hbar$ ($m = -l \dots +l$): l, m "label" energy eigenstates ...
 (l not yet restricted to be integer)

\Rightarrow angular dependence of
 energy eigenstates (for **any**
 spherically symmetric potential)
 characterized by l, m : define
 $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$ using angular
 momentum eigenkets (cf.
 originally from separating variables)

$$\langle \hat{n} | l, m \rangle \equiv Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$$

"direction" eigen bra

polar, azimuthal angles
of \hat{n} (unit vector)

$Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$: amplitude for $|l, m\rangle$ "to be found" in \hat{n}

Check Properties of above
 "version" of $\Psi_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$
 (differential equations satisfied,

actual functional form)

"inherited" from $|l, m\rangle \dots$

same as before (separation of variables, not quite related to rotations/angular momentum), e.g.,

action of \vec{L} on $|l, m\rangle \rightarrow$ DE's for Y_l^m :

$$\langle \hat{n} | L_z | l, m \rangle = m\hbar \langle \hat{n} | l, m \rangle$$

$$\text{Use } \langle \hat{x}' | L_z | \alpha \rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \langle \hat{x}' | \alpha \rangle \quad (\hat{x}' \rightarrow \hat{n}, \alpha \rightarrow l, m)$$

(again, "based on" rotations) \Rightarrow

$$-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \langle \hat{n} | l, m \rangle = m\hbar \langle \hat{n} | l, m \rangle$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) = im Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) \propto e^{im\phi}$$

$$\text{Similarly } |\vec{L}|^2 |l, m\rangle = l(l+1)\hbar^2 |l, m\rangle \Rightarrow$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + (l+1)l \right] Y_l^m = 0$$

"using rotations"

- orthonormality: $\langle l', m' | l, m \rangle = \delta_{l'l} \delta_{m'm}$

$$= \int d\Omega \hat{n} \langle l', m' | \hat{n} \rangle \langle \hat{n} | l, m \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d(\cos \theta) Y_{l'}^{m'*}(\theta, \phi) Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) = \delta_{l'l} \delta_{m'm}$$

... same as known from separation of variables

What do $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$ look like
(know already $e^{im\phi}$ part)?

Again, use "new" insight (from $|l, m\rangle$):

start with $Y_l^{l (=m)} = e^{il\phi} f_l(\theta) = \langle \hat{n} | l, l \rangle$ $\xrightarrow{\text{to be determined}}$

Use $L_+ |l, l\rangle = 0$

$$\text{and } \langle \bar{x}' | L_+ | \alpha \rangle = -i\hbar e^{+i\phi} \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right)$$

with $x' \rightarrow \hat{n}$
 $\alpha \rightarrow l, m$

to get $\cancel{1^{\text{st}} \text{ order DE vs.}}$

$$-i\hbar e^{i\phi} \left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right] \langle \hat{n} | l, l \rangle = 0$$

\Rightarrow

$$\frac{d f_e(\theta)}{d\theta} = (\cot \theta) \cancel{l} f_e(\theta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cancel{l} \\ & Y_e^l(\theta, \phi) \\ & = e^{il\phi} f_e(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d f_e(\theta)}{f_e(\theta)} = \left(\frac{d(\sin \theta)}{\sin \theta} \right) l ; d(\ln f_e) = l d(\ln \sin \theta);$$

$$\ln f_e = (\ln \sin \theta + \text{constant}) \Rightarrow \boxed{f_e(\theta) \propto (\sin \theta)^l}$$

$$Y_e^l(\theta, \phi) = c_e e^{il\phi} (\sin \theta)^l, \text{ with}$$

c_e (normalization)

$$= \left[(-1)^l / (2^l l!) \right] \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(2l)!}{4\pi}}$$

How to get $Y_l^m < l(\theta, \phi)$?

$$= \langle \hat{n} | l, m < l \rangle \dots$$

$$\langle \hat{n} | l, m-1 \rangle = \langle \hat{n} | L_- | l, m \rangle$$

$$\sqrt{(l+m)(l-m+1)} \hbar$$

$$= \frac{e^{-i\phi} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \langle \hat{n} | l, m \rangle}{\sqrt{(l+m)(l-m+1)} \hbar}$$

used repeatedly ... to give

$$Y_l^m = l-1 \cdots ^0 \text{ (fixed } l, l > m \geq 0 \text{)}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^l}{2^l l!} \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l+m)!}{4\pi(l-m)!}} \boxed{e^{im\phi}} \frac{1}{\sin^m \theta} \times$$

$$\frac{d(l-m)}{d(\cos \theta)^{l-m}}$$

$$(\sin \theta)^{2l}$$

$$e^{-im\phi} f_l(\theta)$$

$$\text{with } Y_l^{-m} = (-1)^m [Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)]^*$$

use
action of
 L_- on
wavefunction

- Note/recall: $f_e(\theta)$ is $(\sin \theta)^{|m|} \times$
polynomial in $\cos \theta$ of degree $(l - |m|)$:
associated Legendre functions $[P_e^m(\cos \theta)]$

- For $m = 0$, $y_e^0(\theta, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} P_e(\cos \theta)$

Another way to get Legendre polynomials

- Remarkably simpler way to obtain
 $y_e^m(\theta, \phi)$ using rotations/ket "language,"

cf. solving 2nd order PDE postulating
series solution, requiring termination...
in old approach (separation of
variables)

- Can l, m be half-integers
(allowed so far)? No

- wavefunction $\propto y_e^m(\theta, \phi)$
 $\propto e^{im\phi}$

\Rightarrow If $m = \text{half-integer}$,
 then 2π rotation ($\phi \rightarrow \phi + 2\pi$)
 flips sign of wavefunction...

... but that's same physical point! \Rightarrow m (hence l) is integer

[More formally, L generates rotation of $|\bar{x}'\rangle$, with
 "2 π -rotated" $|\bar{x}'\rangle = |\bar{x}'\rangle \Rightarrow$
 wavefunction of 2 π rotated (about z-axis) state =
 $\langle \bar{x}' | \exp\left(-iL_z \frac{2\pi}{\hbar}\right) |\alpha\rangle$ $D(\phi \text{ about z-axis})$
 act on eigenbra rotated state $= \exp\left(iL_z \phi\right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \langle (-2\pi)\text{-rotated } x' | \alpha \rangle \quad (\langle B | x \leftrightarrow x^+ | B \rangle) \\
 &= \langle x' | \alpha \rangle, \text{i.e., } \begin{array}{c} \text{wave function is} \\ \text{unchanged} \end{array} \dots \\
 &\text{more "mathematical" arguments in Sakurai}
 \end{aligned}$$