

Lecture 16, Oct. 7 (Wed.)

Outline for today (& part of Fri.)

- finish PI approach to QM:

- Feynman's ansatz for (infinitesimal) transition amplitude

- justification: (1) recover (earlier) propagator for small (time) segment; (2) get classical path as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$; (3) satisfies Schroedinger's time-dependent wave equation

x

Feynman's "intuition": for each (small)

segment of path, assign

$$\langle x_n, t_n | x_{n-1}, t_{n-1} \rangle \propto \exp[i S(n, n-1) / \hbar]$$

where

$$S_{n, n-1} \equiv \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} dt L_{\text{classical}}(x, \dot{x}) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{classical} \\ \text{action} \end{array} \right)$$

More precisely (match dimensions):

$$\langle x_n, t_n | x_{n-1}, t_{n-1} \rangle = \underbrace{\frac{1}{W(\Delta t)}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \sim 1/\text{length}}} \exp[i S(n, n-1) / \hbar]$$

weight factor

1st (sanity) check: recover, for small Δt ,
free-particle propagator of earlier;

$$K_{\text{free}}(x'', t''; x', t') = \langle x'', t'' | x', t' \rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar (t'' - t')}} \exp\left[\frac{i m (x'' - x')^2}{2 \hbar (t'' - t')}\right]$$

$$S(n, n-1) = \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left[\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2 - V(x) \right] dt$$

$$\approx \Delta t \left[\frac{1}{2} m \underbrace{\left(\frac{x_n - x_{n-1}}{\Delta t} \right)^2}_{\dot{x}^2} - V\left(\frac{x_n + x_{n-1}}{2}\right) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x_n, t_n | x_{n-1}, t_{n-1} \rangle = \frac{1}{w(\Delta t)} \exp\left[\frac{i m (x_n - x_{n-1})^2}{2 \hbar \Delta t}\right]$$

a la Feynman

"reproduces" $\exp \dots$ of earlier propagator

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{w(\Delta t)} = \sqrt{m / (2\pi i \hbar \Delta t)} \quad \text{("matching" pre-factors)}$$

(but related)

[see Sakurai for alternate way to get $w(\Delta t)$,
 by only requiring Feynman's amplitude gives $\delta(x_n - x_{n-1})$
 as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$]

So, Feynman's proposal:

$$\langle x_n, t_n | x_{n-1}, t_{n-1} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta t}} \exp\left[\frac{i S(n, n-1)}{\hbar}\right]$$

2nd (sanity) check: for finite $(t_N - t_1)$, only classical path relevant as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$

- Using $\langle x_n, t_n | x_{n-1}, t_{n-1} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta t}} \exp\left[\frac{i S(n, n-1)}{\hbar}\right]$
 Feynman

for each segment of path (choice of $x_{N-1}, x_{N-2} \dots x_2$), we get

$\langle x_N, t_N | x_1, t_1 \rangle = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty, \Delta t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta t} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}$ } weight factors
 (total) transition amplitude ala Feynman

$\int dx_{N-1} \int dx_{N-2} \dots \int dx_2$
 "sum" over paths

$\prod_{n=2}^N \exp\left[\frac{i S(n, n-1)}{\hbar}\right]$
 (product) transition amplitude for segment of path

Compact notation: $\int_{x_1}^{x_N} \mathcal{D}[x(t)] \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta t} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \int dx_{N-1} \dots \int dx_2$
 $\Delta t = (t_N - t_1) / (N-1)$

- Also, $\prod_{n=2}^N \exp\left[\frac{iS(n, n-1)}{\hbar}\right] = \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{n=2}^N S(n, n-1)\right] = e^{\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S(N, 1)\right]}$
↑
action
for (full) path

$$\langle x_N, t_N | x_1, t_1 \rangle = \int_{x_1}^{x_N} \mathcal{D}[x(t)] \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_1}^{t_N} dt L_{\text{classical}}(x, \dot{x})\right]$$

$$\sim \sum_{\text{path}} \exp\left(\frac{i S_{\text{path}}}{\hbar}\right)$$

- For **given** path, contributions from **neighboring** paths (S different, even if slightly) as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ **cancel** each other (due to $\exp[iS/\hbar]$ oscillations)

... **except** for path which is extremum of S :
 $\delta S = 0$ (classical path!) ... \Rightarrow
 $S_{\text{neighbor}} \approx S_{\text{min.}}$
 (classical)

\Rightarrow contributions **add-up** ...

\Rightarrow as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, sum over paths **dominated** by classical path (as desired)

Alternative (to Schrodinger equation) formulation, based on (a) superposition principle (sum over paths); (b) composition property of transition amplitude and (c) reproduce classical path as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$

3rd check: for $V \neq 0$, show that Feynman's formula for $\langle x_N, t_N | x_1, t_1 \rangle$ satisfies Schroedinger time-dependent wave equation, i.e., same as propagator, $K(x_N, t_N; x_1, t_1)$ before

— since we want $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ of $\langle x_N, t_N | x_1, t_1 \rangle$, "split" it into large t_1 to t_{N-1} and small t_{N-1} to t_N ("final") intervals:

$$\langle x_N, t_N | x_1, t_1 \rangle = \int dx_{N-1} \langle x_N, t_N | x_{N-1}, t_{N-1} \rangle \times \langle x_{N-1}, t_{N-1} | x_1, t_1 \rangle$$

— Use notation: $\xi = x_N - x_{N-1}$; $x_N \rightarrow x$; $t_{N-1} \rightarrow t$
 $t_N = t + \Delta t$ (Δt small, but ξ can be large so far: see below)

so that

$$\langle x, t + \Delta t | x_1, t_1 \rangle = \int d\xi \langle x, t + \Delta t | x - \xi, t \rangle \times \langle x - \xi, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle$$

— For Δt small, we have 1st factor on RHS

$$\langle x, t + \Delta t | x - \xi, t \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta t}} \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{weight} \times \\ \text{factor} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\exp \left[i \frac{m}{2\hbar} \frac{\xi^2}{\Delta t} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt V(\text{between } x \& x - \xi) \right]$$

$$\frac{i S_{N,N-1}}{\hbar}$$

$$= \int \frac{(x_N - x_{N-1})^2}{(\Delta t)^2} dt$$

$$= \int dt \dot{x}^2$$

from 1st factor

- Use $\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta t}} \exp\left(\frac{i m \xi^2}{2\hbar \Delta t}\right) = \delta(\xi)$

so that $\int d\xi \dots$ dominated by ξ small in 1st

\Rightarrow two expansions (2nd factor) \times factor

(a) $\langle x - \xi, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle$ and $V(\text{between } x \& x - \xi)$

in powers of ξ , but drop ξ^{odd} , since

$\times \exp\left(\frac{i m \xi^2}{2\hbar \Delta t}\right)$ (even power of ξ)...

$\Rightarrow \int d\xi \dots \rightarrow 0$

(b). expand for small Δt on LHS and

in $\exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} V\right]$ in 1st
 RHS ... to give
 factor

$$\langle x, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle + \Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle x, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle = \dots + \Delta t^2 \dots$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta t}} \int d\xi \exp\left[\frac{i m \xi^2}{2 \hbar \Delta t}\right] \times \text{RHS 1st factor}$$

$$\left[\textcircled{1} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta t V(x) - \frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta t \frac{\xi^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} V(x) \right]$$

↑ call it term $\textcircled{1}$

drop ξ^1

$\textcircled{3}$ ∈ RHS 2nd factor

$$\left[\langle x, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle + \frac{\xi^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \langle x, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle \right]$$

$\textcircled{4}$ $\textcircled{5}$

- Use $\int d\xi \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta t}} \exp\left[\frac{i m \xi^2}{2 \hbar \Delta t}\right] = 1$ to

(i). cancel leading terms [not $\propto \Delta t$, ξ^2]
 on both sides [$\textcircled{4} \times \textcircled{1}$ on RHS] ... and

(ii). in evaluating $\textcircled{4} \times \textcircled{2}$

- Use $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i\hbar \Delta t}} \int d\xi \xi^2 \exp\left[\frac{im\xi^2}{2\hbar \Delta t}\right] = \frac{i\hbar}{m} \Delta t$

to evaluate (4) x (3) and (5) x (2)

- We then get

$$\frac{\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle x, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle}{+ \Delta t^2 \dots} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} V(x) \Delta t \quad (4) \times (2)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{i\hbar}{m}\right) \left(-\frac{i}{2\hbar}\right) (\Delta t)^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \langle x, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle \quad (4) \times (3)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2m}\right) \Delta t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \langle x, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle \left[1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} V(x) \Delta t \right]$$

(1) x (5)
(5) x (2)

- drop Δt^2 as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ (keep only Δt^1)

- what about (5) x (3)?! It's even higher

order in Δt (due to $\int d\xi \xi^4 \exp \dots$)

... x $(i\hbar)^4 \times 1/\Delta t$ gives (finally!!)

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle x, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle = V(x) \langle x, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \langle x, t | x_1, t_1 \rangle$$

... as desired ...