

# Lecture 10 Sept. 23, Wed.

Outline for today (and Fri.)

- continue H-picture vs. S-picture
- particle with potential: Ehrenfest theorem
- base kets evolution
- transition amplitudes
- "Energy-time" uncertainty relation
- Simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) via operator/ algebraic method (not using Schroedinger's wave equation): energy eigenvalues, wavefunctions...

Particle with potential:  $H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x)$

(Use  $[x, F(p)] = i\hbar \partial F / \partial p$  &  $[p, G(x)] = -i\hbar \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}$ )

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [p, V(x)] = -\partial V / \partial x$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = p/m \text{ (same as free)}$$

Combining,  $\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dx}{dt} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} (p/m) \dots \Rightarrow$

$$m \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = -\partial_x V \text{ (operators)}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle p \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \alpha | \hat{p}^{(H)} | \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha | -\partial V / \partial x | \alpha \rangle = \langle -\partial V / \partial x \rangle$$

similarly

$$d/dt \langle x \rangle = \langle p \rangle / m \dots \Rightarrow$$

$$m \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle x \rangle = - \langle \partial V / \partial x \rangle$$

Ehrenfest theorem: expectation values in QM

obey classical laws

— Using S-picture instead:

$$d/dt \langle p \rangle = d/dt \left( \underbrace{\langle \alpha | U^\dagger}_{\text{new}} p \underbrace{U | \alpha \rangle}_{\text{fixed}} \right)$$

$$= \langle \alpha | U^\dagger \left( \frac{iH}{\hbar} \right) p + p \left( -\frac{iH}{\hbar} \right) U | \alpha \rangle$$

$$= - \langle \alpha | U^\dagger [p, H] U | \alpha \rangle i/\hbar$$

$$= - \left( \langle \alpha | U^\dagger \right) \partial V / \partial x \left( U | \alpha \rangle \right) = - \langle \partial V / \partial x \rangle$$

Similarly,  $d \langle x \rangle / dt = \langle p \rangle / m \dots$  combining, get same as H-picture

Base kets evolution

$$[A, H] \neq 0$$

$|a'\rangle$

S-picture: base kets (eigenkets of A) at time  $t$  same as at  $t=0$  (A unchanged)

... but if system starts out in  $|a'\rangle$  (at  $t=0$ ), then at later time  $t$ , it's <sup>in a</sup> different <sup>state/</sup> ket:  $U |a'\rangle$

$$|a'\rangle \longrightarrow U |a'\rangle \neq |a'\rangle$$

"time-evolved" base ket no longer base ket at later time  $t$   
*S-picture*

H-picture

$A |a'\rangle = a' |a'\rangle \Rightarrow$   
(in S-picture)

$$U^\dagger [A^{(S)} = A = A^{(H)}(0)] U U |a'\rangle = a' U^\dagger |a'\rangle$$

$$A^{(H)}(t) (U^\dagger |a'\rangle) = a' (U^\dagger |a'\rangle)$$

evolves in time

base kets at  $t \neq$  those at  $t=0$ , i.e.,  $|a'\rangle$       eigenvalue      eigenket of  $A^{(H)}(t)$

$$|a', t\rangle_H = U^\dagger |a'\rangle \neq \text{time-evolved } |a'\rangle \text{ in } S\text{-picture}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |a', t\rangle_H = -H |a', t\rangle_H$$

"wrong" sign

If system starts out  $|a'\rangle$  at  $t=0$  [eigenket of  $A^{(H)}(0)$ ], then remains in that state at later time  $t$  ... but then this is not base ket at time  $t$  [which is  $U^\dagger |a'\rangle$  as per above] H-picture

...as expected from general result for unitary equivalent operators:  $A^{(S)}$  &  $A^{(H)}$  have same eigenvalues ( $a'$ ), but different eigenkets at time  $t$ :  $|a'\rangle$  vs.  $U^\dagger |a'\rangle$   
fixed in evolving

- transition amplitude: system initially  $|a'\rangle$ , what's probability to measure  $b'$  for  $B$  at later time  $t$ ?

S-picture:  $\langle b' | (U |a'\rangle)$

base bra (same as at  $t=0$ )      time evolved  $|a'\rangle$

H-picture:  $\langle b' | U |a'\rangle$  ... same

base bra at time  $t$       no time evolution

sanity-check of base kets in H-picture

## Summary of H- vs. S-pictures

	S-picture	H-picture
(general) state ket	evolving (in time)	fixed
operator (observable)	fixed	evolving
Base ket	fixed	evolving "oppositely" to state ket of S-picture

"Advantages" of H-picture (vs. S-picture):

"quicker" understanding of spreading of Gaussian wavepacket with time; Ehrenfest theorem (expectation values in QM obey classical physics laws); time-energy "uncertainty relation" ...

Time-energy uncertainty (alternate derivation in Sakurai)

$$\frac{d\langle Q \rangle}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [H, Q] \rangle \dots \Rightarrow$$

$$\langle (\Delta Q)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta H)^2 \rangle \geq \frac{1}{4} |\langle [Q, H] \rangle|^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \left| \frac{d\langle Q \rangle}{dt} \right|^2$$

eigenvalue of H

$(\Delta E)^2$

time is not operator, cf. x

define "Δt" by  $\langle (\Delta Q)^2 \rangle \equiv (\Delta t)^2 \left| \frac{d\langle Q \rangle}{dt} \right|^2$

[ Δt is time for ⟨Q⟩ to change by its dispersion ("fuzziness") ]

... Combining,  $\Delta E \Delta t \geq \hbar/2$  : "similar" to

$\Delta x \cdot \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$  but different interpretation (of

Δt vs. Δx) → (usual) dispersion  
↙ as above