Observation of Ground-State Quantum Beats in Atomic Spontaneous Emission
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We report ground-state quantum beats in spontaneous emission from a continuously driven atomic ensemble. Beats are visible only in an intensity autocorrelation and evidence spontaneously generated coherence in radiative decay. Our measurement realizes a quantum eraser where a first photon detection prepares a superposition and a second erases the "which path" information in the intermediate state.
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Quantum beats are oscillations in the radiation intensity of an ensemble of excited atoms due to interfering emission pathways. They must be counted amongst the earliest predictions of quantum mechanics [1]. So-called "Type I" atoms exhibit beats at the separation frequency of two excited states which, prepared in a superposition, decay to a common ground state. The preparation may be achieved in a number of ways, e.g., through pulsed optical excitation [2,3] or cascade emission [4]. In all cases the coherence lasts for the excited state lifetime, unlike ground-state coherence, which can last long enough to be interrogated later and, for this reason, is favored by the field of quantum information.

We consider an unusual situation where ground-state coherence gives rise to a long-lived quantum beat in spontaneous emission. As repeatedly noted [1,5,6], QED predicts no beat in the decay of a "Type II" atom to nondegenerate ground states, since they are orthogonal. Nevertheless, while beats may be absent from the mean intensity, they can still lie hidden in the fluctuations. In an elegant experiment in the 1950s, Forrester et al. [7] showed this for the (classical) beating of light from a pair of incoherent sources. We proceed in similar spirit; we recover a long-lived quantum beat from fluctuations.

In contrast to recent experiments [8,9], which aim for deterministic quantum control, the ground-state coherence in our experiment is both prepared and read out by spontaneous emission. Moreover, our measured beat is different from that seen by Schubert et al. [10], where an interference occurs in absorption rather than emission.

Creation of coherence through spontaneous emission, so-called spontaneously generated coherence, has been discussed in the theoretical literature [11–13] and indirect experimental evidence reported for spontaneous creation of electron spin coherence in charged GaAs quantum dots [14]. We detect only spontaneous emission, and therefore make a direct and unambiguous observation of spontaneously generated coherence. We realize, in a continuously driven variation, the scheme of Zajonc [15] for generating ground-state quantum beats on the principle of the quantum eraser [16].

Beginning with an outline of the scheme, we consider first one idealized atom then a realistic atomic ensemble. Consider an atom with Zeeman structure in its ground and excited states interacting with degenerate, orthogonally polarized cavity modes, $H$ and $V$; a weak magnetic field sets the quantization axis in the $V$ direction, and mode $V$ is weakly and continuously driven [Fig. 1]. The atom is prepared in state $|g_0\rangle$ from which it is excited to $|e_0\rangle$ by the $V$ mode. It may return to the ground state emitting a $\pi$, $\sigma^+$, or $\sigma^-$ photon, or any linear combination conserving angular momentum. In the given geometry, only $\sigma^+$ or $\sigma^-$ light couples to the $H$ mode, with the helicity undetermined; thus, if the emitted photon escapes the cavity before being reabsorbed, its detection places the atom in the superposition $|\psi'\rangle = |g_{-1}\rangle + |g_{+1}\rangle$ [light grey (red) arrows in Fig. 1(a)]. The atom is now in the ground state
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FIG. 1 (color online). (a) $\pi$-excitation of an $F = 3$ to $F' = 4$ transition with scattering of a first, light grey (red), and second, dark grey (blue) photon, into the $H$ mode; (b) schematic of the apparatus, HWP: half-wave plate, PBS: polarizing beam splitter, BS: beam splitter, APDs: avalanche photodiode.
with angular momentum perpendicular to the magnetic field, and thus performs Larmor precession. When subsequently reexcited by the driven $V$ mode, state

$$|\psi'\rangle = e^{i\phi(\tau)}|e_{-1}\rangle + e^{-i\phi(\tau)}|e_{+1}\rangle$$

(1)

is reached, with phase $\pm \phi(\tau)$ gained through its precession in the ground state. From here the atom can decay back to $|g_0\rangle$, emitting a second $H$-mode photon. The probability to do so depends on $\phi(t)$, giving rise to quantum beats.

In summary, there are two paths for scattering a pair of photons into the $H$ mode [neglect for now the paths to $|g_{\pm 2}\rangle$ in Fig. 1(a)]: $|g_0\rangle \rightarrow |e_{0}\rangle \rightarrow |g_{+1}\rangle \rightarrow |e_{+1}\rangle \rightarrow |g_0\rangle$ and $|g_0\rangle \rightarrow |e_{0}\rangle \rightarrow |e_{-1}\rangle \rightarrow |g_0\rangle$. The phase gained from the ground-state Zeeman shift (precession) differs along the two paths, which interfere to produce oscillations in the rate of delayed coincidences—i.e., in the correlation function $g^2(\tau)$. Note that after the first photon is detected “which path” information is available, since $|g_{+1}\rangle$ and $|g_{-1}\rangle$ are distinguishable in principle. This information is erased by the second detection.

What we have presented is a single-atom idealization. It neglects the presence of more than one atom in the cavity (not admissible for an atomic beam), spontaneous emission to noncavity modes, the finite cavity decay rate, and the full complement of magnetic sublevels for the employed $F = 3$ to $F' = 4$ transition. These features are included in a full quantum trajectory treatment, including a Monte Carlo simulation of an atomic beam [17].

Two approximations are adopted: (i) the driven mode is treated semiclassically (with absorption still taken into account), and (ii) reabsorption of $H$-mode photons is neglected. The approximations are justified by our moderate dipole coupling strength.

Consider first an atom prepared in $|g_0\rangle$ that has not yet suffered a spontaneous emission. Let $|a_i\rangle$, $|a_0^i\rangle$, and $|a_0^{ij}\rangle$ denote unnormalized states expanded, respectively, over the $m_i = 0$, $m_i = \pm 1$, and $m_i = 0$, $\pm 2$ submanifolds, as indicated by the black, light grey (red), and black plus dark grey (blue) levels of Fig. 1(a). These states correlate with the scattering of zero, one, and two photons into the $H$ mode. Should the atom undergo a spontaneous emission (to noncavity modes), the expansion manifolds, after the quantum jump, are unchanged for a $\sigma$ emission but move one step to the right or left for a $\sigma$ emission ($m_i \rightarrow m_i \pm 1$). Keeping track of these shifts, the system state is expanded as

$$|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle|A\rangle + |1\rangle \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} |a_i^0\rangle|A_i\rangle \right)$$

$$+ \sqrt{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |a_i^0\rangle|a_j^0\rangle|A_{ij}\rangle + |a_i^0\rangle|a_j^0\rangle|A_{ji}\rangle \right),$$

where $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$, and $|2\rangle$ denote zero, one, and two photons in the $H$ mode, $N$ is the (time-varying) number of interacting atoms, $|A\rangle = |a_1\rangle|a_2\rangle\ldots|a_N\rangle$, $|A_i\rangle$ is the state $|A\rangle$ with $|a_i\rangle$ omitted from the product, and $|A_{ij}\rangle$ is the state $|A\rangle$ with $|a_i\rangle$ and $|a_j\rangle$ omitted from the product. This base state evolves under the coherent drive and coupling to the $H$ mode, the coming and going of atoms as they transit the cavity, and spontaneous emission. From it, at regular sample times, we initiate the collapsed state $|\psi'\rangle = \hat{b}|\psi\rangle$, where $\hat{b}$ annihilates an $H$-mode photon; thus, the ground-state coherence is prepared as an entangled state of $N$ atoms, which then evolves in parallel with $|\psi\rangle$:

$$|\psi'\rangle = |0\rangle \sum_{i=1}^{N} |b_i^0\rangle|A_i\rangle$$

$$+ \left| 1 \right\rangle \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |a_i^0\rangle|b_j^0\rangle|A_{ij}\rangle + |b_i^0\rangle|A_j\rangle \right).$$

(2)

where $|b_i^0\rangle = |a_i^0\rangle$ and $|b_i^0\rangle = 2|a_i^0\rangle$ at the start of a sample, after which $|b_i^0\rangle$ and $|a_i^0\rangle$ differ due to their correlation with zero (one) rather than one (two) $H$-mode photons; $N_0 \leq N$ is the number of surviving entangled atoms, i.e., those remaining in the cavity.

The source of the quantum beat is the atomic ground-state coherence preserved in the vacuum of the cavity, i.e., the first term in Eq. (2). The system ground state—both atoms and cavity—does not decay, and through $\pi$ excitation drives a sustained excited-state oscillation in the atom mirroring Eq. (1):

$$\frac{g_{m,-1}e^{i\delta_s\tau}}{\gamma - i(m_i - 1)\Delta} |e_{m,-1}\rangle + \frac{g_{m,1}e^{-i\delta_s\tau}}{\gamma + i(m_i + 1)\Delta} |e_{m,1}\rangle,$$

(3)

with $\Delta = \delta_c - \delta_g$, where $\delta_g$ ($\delta_c$) are ground-state (excited-state) Zeeman detunings, $g_{m,1}$ are Clebsch-Gordon coefficients, and $\gamma$ is the excited-state linewidth; $m_i$ tracks the state reached by atom $i$ through possible spontaneous emissions. From Eq. (3), oscillation at the ground-state frequency $\pm \delta_g$ is passed to the probability amplitudes for emitting a second $H$-mode photon through $|b_i^0\rangle$ and $|a_i^0\rangle|b_j^0\rangle$ in Eq. (2); note that $|b_i^0\rangle$ is a source term driving the equation of motion for $|b_j^0\rangle$ [the dark grey (blue) wavy lines plus $\pi$ excitation in Fig. 1(a)]. Only the amplitude and phase, but not the frequency of the oscillation, will be affected by a detuning of the drive from the atom.

The probability for emitting a second $H$-mode photon contains a term proportional to $|b_i^0|b_j^0\rangle$, summed over all surviving entangled atoms. It accounts for the scattering of a first and second photon by the same atom and shows the quantum beat introduced above. There is also a term computed from the norm of $|a_i^0\rangle|b_j^0\rangle + |a_j^0\rangle|b_i^0\rangle$, $i \neq j$, which adds probability amplitudes for “a first photon from atom $i$ and a second from atom $j$,” and “a first photon from atom $j$ and a second from atom $i$.” If the scattered fields were classical, $E_j^{ch\phi}$ at time $t$ and $E_i^{ch\phi}$ at time $t + \tau$, one would have the intensity $|E_j^{ch\phi} + E_i^{ch\phi}|^2$, where the interference $2\text{Re}(E_j^{ch\phi}\overline{E_i^{ch\phi}} + E_i^{ch\phi}\overline{E_j^{ch\phi}})$ disallows assignment of the first
Fabry-Perot cavity with saturation photon number records a continuous stream of detection times with 164 ps detector output goes directly to a time stamp card, which two avalanche photodiodes at a second beam splitter. Each magnetic field of 5 G, yielding a beat frequency of the drive with an extinction ratio that can reach better plate (HWP) placed before the cavity linearly polarize F of the polarization modes by birefringence is less than mode excites resonant transitions.

A detailed description of the apparatus is given in [18]. The main elements appear in Fig. 1(b). We probe a dilute beam of cold ⁸⁵Rb atoms (speed ~ 22 m/s) transiting a 2.2 mm Fabry-Perot cavity with TEM₀₀ mode waist 56 μm. The finesse is 11 000, and the cavity and atomic decay rates, (κ, γ)/2π ≈ (3.2, 6) × 10⁶ s⁻¹, are both larger than the maximum dipole coupling, g/2π = 1.5 MHz on the F = 3, m = 0 to F′ = 4, m′ = 0 transition; we operate in the intermediate regime of cavity QED, with single-atom cooperativity $C_1 = g^2/\gamma \kappa = 0.12$ and saturation photon number $n_0 = \gamma^2/3g^2 = 5.3$. Splitting of the polarization modes by birefringence is less than 200 kHz. Atoms enter the cavity optically pumped to the F = 3, m = 0 ground state, from which the driven cavity mode excites resonant π transitions.

A Glan-Thompson polarizer and zero-order half-wave plate (HWP) placed before the cavity linearly polarize the drive with an extinction ratio that can reach better than 5 × 10⁻⁵. A second HWP after the cavity aligns the polarization to a calcite Wollaston prism (PBS) to separate the H from the V-mode. The former is divided between two avalanche photodiodes at a second beam splitter. Each detector output goes directly to a time stamp card, which records a continuous stream of detection times with 164 ps resolution. The typical duration of a time series is three minutes or less.

Figure 2(a) displays a measured correlation function at a magnetic field of 5 G, yielding a beat frequency of 4.9 MHz. The V mode is populated with 2–3 photons, on average, when no atoms are present, and the atomic flux corresponds to $\tilde{N} = 0.2$ effective maximally coupled atoms; most of the time there are no atoms well coupled to the mode [19]. The oscillation has low visibility and sits atop a raised Gaussian background whose correlation time is given by the transit time of an atom (= 2.5 μs). The dominant beat for small $\tilde{N}$ is that arising from the emission of two photons by the same atom [Fig. 4(c)]. Figure 2(b) displays the measured correlation function with $\tilde{N}$ larger by a factor of 10 but otherwise similar conditions. The beat visibility is improved. The background is also removed, evidence that there is now an equal two-atom quantum beat [Fig. 4(d)]. The beat frequency is reduced to 4.7 MHz, an indirect effect, we believe, of increased absorption by the additional atomic flux, which reduces the V-mode photon number—by a factor of 2—and thus also the light shifts. A detailed study of light shifts is planned for separate presentation.

Figure 3 illustrates the change in the observed beat when the polarization presented to the detector is not taken orthogonal to the polarization of the drive but is allowed to rotate by a few degrees. The rotation is controlled by changing the angle of the HWP placed between the cavity and the PBS [Fig. 1(b)]. This mixes a small amount of drive light with the scattered light. With increasing fraction of drive light, the beat is eventually dominated by a homodyne term [Fig. 4(e)] arising from the correlation of a photon scattered into the H mode with a photon from the drive; thus, as in the two-atom case, interfering time orders yield a quantum beat. This beat oscillates at half the frequency and allows the correlation function to dip below one [e.g., Fig. 4(b)]. Generally, some drive light is coupled into the H mode through a small birefringence of the cavity mirrors. In Fig. 3, the evident asymmetry with respect to angle is possibly due to imperfect alignment of the magnetic field with respect to the light polarization.

Quantum trajectory simulations agree well with the measurements. Figure 4(a) displays a computed correlation function overlaying the data of Fig. 2(b). A mean velocity of 22 ms⁻¹ fits the decay of coherence well. Other parameters, such as the fidelity of the optical pumping, atomic

**FIG. 2 (color online).** Intensity correlation function of the H mode for 2–3 photons in the V mode with no atoms present and a 5 G magnetic field: (a) $\tilde{N} = 0.2$ and (b) $\tilde{N} = 2.0$.

**FIG. 3 (color online).** Evolution of the quantum beat as V-mode light is mixed with the H mode. Upper and lower extremes correspond to approximately 6 times more detector counts from the V mode than spontaneous emission. The parameters are 0.6 photons in the V mode, a magnetic field of 4 G, and $\tilde{N} = 1$.!
We have observed ground-state quantum beats in the spontaneous emission from a continuously driven atomic ensemble. Contrasting deterministic manipulations [8,9], we demonstrate the spontaneous creation and readout of ground-state coherence, where in the spirit of Forrester et al. [7], we retrieve a hidden beat from the fluctuations.

Our theoretical treatment, which is in good agreement with the measurements, decomposes the signal into a one-atom beat, a two-atom beat (interference of emission time order), and a homodyne beat due to interference with a drive photon mixed through birefringenece. We plan to study a new class of quantum feedback in this system [21].
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