

## ABSTRACT

Title of Dissertation: **ANALOGIES AS CATEGORIZATION  
PHENOMENA: STUDIES FROM  
SCIENTIFIC DISCOURSE**

**Leslie Jill Atkins, Ph.D., 2004**

Dissertation Directed By: **Associate Professor David Hammer,  
Department of Curriculum and Instruction,  
Department of Physics**

Studies on the role of analogies in science classrooms have tended to focus on analogies that come from the teacher or curriculum, and not the analogies that students generate. Such studies are derivative of an educational system that values content knowledge over scientific creativity, and derivative of a model of teaching in which the teacher's role is to convey content knowledge. This dissertation begins with the contention that science classrooms should encourage scientific thinking and one role of the teacher is to model that behavior and identify and encourage it in her students. One element of scientific thinking is analogy. This dissertation focuses on student-generated analogies in science, and offers a model for understanding these. I provide evidence that generated analogies are assertions of categorization, and the base of an analogy is the constructed prototype of an ad hoc category. Drawing from research on categorization, I argue that generated analogies are based in schemas and cognitive models. This model allows for a clear distinction between analogy and literal similarity; prior to this research analogy has been considered to exist on a

spectrum of similarity, differing from literal similarity to the degree that structural relations hold but features do not. I argue for a definition in which generated analogies are an assertion of an unexpected categorization: that is, they are asserted as contradictions to an expected schema.

ANALOGIES AS CATEGORIZATION PHENOMENA: STUDIES FROM  
SCIENTIFIC DISCOURSE

By

Leslie Jill Atkins

Thesis or Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School of the  
University of Maryland, College Park, in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
2004

Advisory Committee:  
Associate Professor David Hammer, Chair  
Dr. Andy Elby  
Associate Professor Sarah Eno  
Professor Edward F. Redish  
Assistant Professor Emily van Zee

© Copyright by  
Leslie Jill Atkins  
2004

## Dedication

For women in physics.

...As I say, I can speak only for myself,  
but as soon as I got here the rules became different.  
They didn't apply to me any more, or to anyone else except a distant runt,  
almost invisible in its litter. So how was  
I to know who to stand up to, when to turn abrasive, when all things  
    nestled,  
equidistant, all hearts were charming, and it was good to be natural and  
    sincere?...

School was over,  
not just for that day but forever and for seasons to come.  
The reason was that the truth was just average  
on the iniquity scale, and nobody wanted to get involved...

You see we all thought the ride would be lovely  
and worth the trip, which it was, but now we cannot go anywhere  
having already been everywhere. No, do you  
understand how realistic it all is?...

And so we faced the new day  
like a pilgrim who sees the end of his journey  
deferred forever.  
Who could predict where we would be led, to what  
extremes of aloneness? Yet the horizon is civil.

-Ashbery, *Girls on the Run*

## Acknowledgements

The idea behind this thesis is that the theories we develop with our *science* are analogies to the stories that we tell with our *lives*. And so this dissertation holds a mirror to my life and reflects its stories – the stories that have brought me here and brought about this work. And those stories have as much to do with community and friendship as they do physics and education. I would like to acknowledge all of my friends, in particular Dorothy, Kathryn, Noam and Sam, for conversation about the things that matter, and my Seattle roommates – especially Amber, Laura, Manu and Sam – for creating a true home. I would like to thank Jerry Seidler, in whose lab I discovered that I did not want to do experimental physics and I was given the freedom and support to decide that. I thank Stamatis Vokos, the *deus ex machina* of my story, and Joe Redish, both of whom took risks for me and I am grateful for and buoyed by their trust. Graduate students Matty, Paul and Rosemary provided hours of critique and conversation in helping me hone the details of this thesis and kept me smiling through the massive frustrations. And friends outside of graduate school, Wendy and Anne in particular, reminded me of life beyond academia, while the Elliott family gave me hope that academia could be everything I wanted it to be. Were it not for my education at the Governor’s School of North Carolina, both as a student and a teacher, I would never have seen myself as someone with a story to tell or a theory to share. The work that happens there is incredible and profound. Thank you to Mrs. Liz Woolard, my brilliant high school physics teacher. And to Janet Coffey who is going to be an amazing professor and advisor and was so encouraging – especially in the final stretch. The teachers from SIPS

I cannot thank enough; without their careful attention to students and remarkable work as teachers there would be no data and no thesis. For all of the Physics Education Research Group, past and present: Renee Michelle, Tom, Rosemary, Matty, Paul, Ray, Tim, Paul, Jonathan, Rebecca, Loucas, Rachel, Laura, David, Joe, Andy, Emily and David. You are the greatest evidence of my thesis that science is influenced by the stories you tell with the rest of your life – the stories you have given me have created the science in this thesis. These are stories of authenticity, theoretical inquiry, identity, frames and epistemology, of course, but also stories of communication, big hearts, laughter, dedication, teaching, birthday cakes, cicada roasts and community. And with all my heart a thank you to David Hammer, whose attention to and excitement about students' ideas, which I first witnessed with regard to elementary students, applies to graduate students as well. He has given me the voice that I had hoped graduate school would and feared that it wouldn't.

For Richard, you know my whole story – told over lunches in the physics courtyard, driving across the country, along the West Coast Trail, in Chamonix, Bear Lake, Mazama, and, too many times, over the phone late at night. I am so lucky. You're for me.

And finally, for my family: mom, dad, Sara and Ben. Thank you. *Your love is tremendous.*

# Table of Contents

Dedication .....	ii
Acknowledgements .....	iii
Table of Contents .....	v
Chapter 1: .....	1
Introduction .....	1
A brief history of analogy research .....	4
Major themes .....	5
Chapter overview .....	6
Chapter two: Review of the literature .....	6
Chapter three: Origins of the study and methodological considerations .....	7
Chapter four: Phenomenological coherence .....	7
Chapter five: The ontology of mind .....	8
Chapter six: Analogies in the history of science .....	8
Chapter seven: Implications for instruction .....	8
Chapter eight: Summary and directions for future research .....	9
Appendix A – J: Transcripts 1 – 10 .....	10
Appendix K: Young children’s analogies .....	10
Chapter 2: Review of the Literature .....	12
Introduction and overview .....	12
Analogies .....	15
Analogies in philosophy and the philosophy of science .....	15
Analogies in cognitive science .....	17
Analogies in linguistics .....	19
Analogies in science and science education .....	20
Summary of analogy research .....	23
Categorization .....	24
Overview .....	24
Categorization and fuzzy sets .....	25
Rosch and prototype theory .....	27
Properties of categories .....	29
Idealized cognitive models .....	31
Metonymy, cognitive models and phenomenological-primitives .....	33
Summary of categorization research .....	35
Analogies as categorization .....	35
Metaphors as category-inclusion statements .....	35
Idealized cognitive models and lexical networks .....	38
Reconciling categorization and structure mapping views of analogies .....	40
Conclusion .....	40
Chapter 3: Origins of the Study & Methodological Considerations .....	43
Origins of the study .....	43
History of research on analogies .....	45



Past research .....	45
Limitations of past methodology .....	48
Recent approaches to the study of analogical reasoning .....	52
Methodology .....	54
A note on the data .....	55
The case study methodology .....	55
Summary .....	57
Chapter 4: Phenomenological Coherence .....	58
Introduction .....	58
Models of analogy from the literature .....	58
Analogies as categorization .....	60
Multiple analogies .....	62
Multiple analogies: Example 1 .....	62
Multiple analogies: Example 2 .....	68
Chains of analogies .....	71
Chains of analogies: Example 1 .....	72
Chains of analogies: Example 2 .....	75
Chains of analogies: Example 3 .....	76
Construction of the base .....	80
Depiction of the base in research on analogies .....	80
Prototypes in categorization .....	81
Construction of the prototype .....	82
Construction of the base in student-generated analogies .....	83
Near and far transfer analogies .....	86
Research on prototypes and research on transfer .....	86
Examples from student-generated analogies .....	87
Variable representation of the base .....	88
Variable representation: Example 1 .....	89
Variable representation: Example 2 .....	92
Previous claims of analogy as categorization .....	97
Analogies as negative assertions .....	98
Violations of expected schemas .....	98
Examples from student-generated analogies: Analogies as negative assertion ...	99
Conclusion .....	101
Chapter 5: The Ontology of Mind .....	105
Introduction .....	105
History of ontology of mind and description of the chapter .....	105
Section 1: A theoretical account of the ontology of mind .....	107
Structure-mapping .....	107
The arguments for variability in conceptual representations .....	109
Structures in a manifold ontology of mind .....	113
Interlude: A distinction between similarity and analogy .....	117
The conflation of similarity and analogy in past definitions .....	117
A proposed definition of analogy as a change of schema .....	122
Section 2: The base of generated analogies as representations of a schema .	125

Schemas and p-prims in the cup/water analogies .....	125
The Beanbag Analogies .....	132
Styrofoam and Ice Skating .....	136
Analogies regarding a quantum mechanics problem .....	142
The ontology of authenticity .....	144
Conclusion .....	146
Chapter 6: Analogies in the History of Science .....	148
Introduction .....	148
History and philosophy of science .....	151
Comparative literature .....	154
Membranes .....	155
Networks .....	158
Luca Turin: Analogies involving scent .....	162
Cognitive science .....	164
Conclusion .....	168
Chapter 7: Implications for Instruction .....	170
Introduction .....	170
A critique of standard analogy use in classrooms .....	171
Implications for instruction .....	177
Students ought to generate their own analogies .....	177
Expect variability and multiple analogies .....	180
A reconsideration of the idea of transfer .....	182
An example of these implications for instruction in practice .....	184
Examples from transcripts .....	184
Examples from the literature .....	185
An example from my own teaching .....	186
Finding analogies in the classroom .....	193
The National Science Education Standards .....	195
The case for diversity in science .....	196
Conclusion .....	197
Chapter 8: Summary & Directions for Future Research .....	198
Introduction .....	198
Summary .....	199
Negative analogies .....	200
Literary analysis and différence .....	200
Negative analogies .....	202
Negative analogies in physics .....	204
Negative analogies as a caveat .....	205
Directions for future research regarding analogies and mind .....	206
The changing schema .....	206
Conceptual blending .....	207
The implications of technology on science .....	207
Science in the absence of analogy .....	208
Embodied cognition and analogies in science .....	209
Analogies as a tool for exploring categorization .....	210

Network theory and analog .....	210
Directions for future research on the implications for instruction .....	212
Questions regarding student epistemology .....	212
The design of learning environments.....	212
Concluding thoughts .....	213
Appendix A – J: Transcripts .....	215
Appendix K: Young children’s analogies .....	292
Glossary.....	302
Bibliography.....	304
Curriculum Vitae.....	312