

1. *Raychaudhuri equation & cosmology*

The Raychaudhuri equation for a timelike geodesic congruence parameterized by proper time  $t$  is

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\frac{1}{3}\theta^2 - \sigma_{ab}\sigma^{ab} + \omega_{ab}\omega^{ab} - R_{ab}u^a u^b, \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta$ ,  $\sigma_{ab}$ , and  $\omega_{ab}$  are the expansion, shear, and twist, respectively, and  $u^a$  is the 4-velocity. Consider a homogeneous isotropic universe (i.e. a Robertson-Walker spacetime, possibly with spatial curvature) with scale factor  $a(t)$ , comoving energy density  $\rho$ , and pressure  $p$ .

- (a) Use the Raychaudhuri equation for the cosmological geodesic flow ( $u^a$  orthogonal to the homogeneous isotropic surfaces) to derive the second order Friedmann equation,  $\ddot{a}/a = -(4\pi/3)(\rho + 3p)$ . Use the Einstein equation only to evaluate  $R_{ab}u^a u^b$  in terms of  $\rho$  and  $p$ .

[Note that a positive pressure adds to the attraction of energy density, while a negative pressure subtracts. If  $p < -\rho/3$  the universe is accelerating. Vacuum energy is locally Lorentz invariant, hence has  $T_{ab} = \rho_{\text{vac}}g_{ab}$ , so  $p = -\rho_{\text{vac}}$ . It can therefore produce acceleration.]

- (b) Use the Raychaudhuri equation to show that, if  $\rho + 3p > 0$ , a ball of test particles initially at rest with respect to each other, and whose center is at rest with respect to the cosmological fluid, will *contract* rather than expand. Explain how this is consistent with the cosmological expansion.

2. *Hypersurface orthogonal vector fields*

A vector field  $V^a$  that is orthogonal to a foliation by hypersurfaces is called *hypersurface orthogonal*. The hypersurfaces can be characterized as the level sets of some function  $S$ . Since  $V_a$  and  $\nabla_a S$  both vanish when contracted with any vector tangent to the constant  $S$  hypersurfaces, they must be proportional, i.e.  $V_a = f\nabla_a S$  for some function  $f$ .

- (a) Show that if  $V^a$  has this form then

$$\nabla_{[a}V_{b]} = V_{[a}W_{b]} \quad (2)$$

for some co-vector  $W_b$ . (The converse, which I do not ask you to prove, is *Frobenius' theorem*.)

- (b) Show that the condition (2) holds if and only if

$$V_{[a}\nabla_b V_{c]} = 0. \quad (3)$$

(*Hint for the if part:* Expand out (3) and contract on the index  $c$  with any vector  $X^c$  such that  $X^c V_c \neq 0$ .)

- (c) Show that in Minkowski spacetime the Killing fields  $\partial_t$  and  $\partial_\phi$  are hypersurface orthogonal, but  $\partial_t + \Omega\partial_\phi$  (where  $\Omega$  is constant) is *not*.
- (d) Show that in the Kerr spacetime, neither of the Killing vector fields  $\partial_t$  nor  $\partial_\phi$  (in B-L coordinates) are hypersurface orthogonal.  
 [A *stationary* spacetime is one with a timelike Killing vector. If the timelike Killing vector is also “hypersurface orthogonal”, the spacetime is called *static*. The Kerr spacetime is stationary, but not static.]
- (e) Since  $\chi = \partial_t + \Omega_H\partial_\phi$  is normal to the Kerr horizon, it is hypersurface orthogonal *on the horizon* (though not elsewhere). Use this property to show that the square of the surface gravity is given by

$$\kappa^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_a\chi_b)(\nabla^a\chi^b). \quad (4)$$

For this purpose use the relation  $\chi^a\nabla_a\chi_b = \kappa\chi_b$ , which holds on the horizon, to define the surface gravity  $\kappa$ .

- (f) Show that if  $u^a$  is hypersurface orthogonal, and is a timelike or spacelike, affinely parametrized geodesic vector field, then the twist vanishes, i.e.  $\omega_{ab} := \nabla_{[a}u_{b]} = 0$ .
- (g) Show that if  $k^a$  is hypersurface orthogonal, and is a null, affinely parametrized geodesic vector field, then the twist has the form  $\omega_{ab} := \nabla_{[a}u_{b]} = k_{[a}v_{b]}$ , where  $v^a$  is orthogonal to  $k^a$ . Conclude that  $\omega_{ab}\omega^{ab} = 0$ .