

1. Consider Zeeman splitting small compared to the magnetic dipole hyperfine splitting for an atom with nuclear spin  $I$  and total electronic angular momentum  $J$ .
  - (a) Neglecting the Zeeman contribution for the nuclear spin, show that the Landé  $g$ -factor for the atom in the hyperfine levels is given by

$$g_F = g_J \frac{F(F+1) + J(J+1) - I(I+1)}{2F(F+1)},$$

where  $g_J$  is the Landé  $g$ -factor for the electronic angular momentum, and  $F$  is the total atomic angular momentum including that of the nuclear spin. [Note that we can use a basis of simultaneous eigenstates of  $F^2$ ,  $J^2$ ,  $L^2$ ,  $S^2$ , and  $F_z$ .] [See Supplement on Tops (Tensor Operators) for a discussion and derivation of  $g_J$ . This problem will be a straightforward extension of that.]

- (b) Consider an alkali atom with nuclear spin  $I$ . The ground state is split into two hyperfine levels, with total angular momentum  $F = I \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . Show that the previous result implies  $g_F(F = I \pm \frac{1}{2}) = \pm g_J/(2I + 1)$ . It follows that both hyperfine levels have the same Zeeman level spacing.
2. In an atomic beam magnetic resonance experiment the transitions  $\Delta F = 0$ ,  $\Delta M_F = \pm 1$  between adjacent Zeeman levels are observed to occur at the frequencies 1.557 MHz for  $^{40}\text{K}$  and at 3.504 MHz for  $^{39}\text{K}$  in the same weak magnetic field. (“Weak” here means that the Zeeman splitting is small compared to the hyperfine splitting.) The nuclear spin of  $^{39}\text{K}$  is  $I = \frac{3}{2}$ . Use the result of the previous problem to show that the nuclear spin of  $^{40}\text{K}$  is  $I = 4$ .
3. In an atomic beam experiment with  $^{69}\text{Ga}$ , the ratio of the  $g_J$  values for the low lying metastable excited state  $4p\ ^2P_{3/2}$  and the ground state  $4p\ ^2P_{1/2}$  was measured using Zeeman resonances to be

$$\frac{g_J(^2P_{3/2})}{g_J(^2P_{1/2})} = 2(1.00172 \pm 0.00006).$$

(The excited state was well populated at the oven temperature, so one beam contained populations of both states in the same magnetic field.) Show that this implies for the electron  $g$ -factor the value  $g_s = 2(1.00114 \pm 0.00004)$ . (This question includes showing that the error/uncertainty propagation works out as stated.) (The upper index 2 in the symbol  $^2P_{1/2}$  denotes the value of  $2S + 1$ , the number of spin configurations. I.e. this is the spectroscopic notation  $^{2S+1}L_J$ .)

4. The deuteron is the unique bound state of a neutron and a proton.
- Using only the facts that (i) the neutron and proton are both spin-1/2 particles, and (ii) the deuteron has total angular momentum  $J = 1$  (“spin 1”), what would be the possible values of  $^{2S+1}L_J$  for the deuteron?
  - Which combinations of the  $^{2S+1}L_J$  found in part 4a could occur in the deuteron, given that parity is a symmetry of the nuclear hamiltonian? Justify your answer.
5. Since the strong interaction is short ranged, there must be a large  $S$ -wave component in the deuteron wave function, and in fact this wave function is a superposition  $a^3S_1 + b^3D_1$ . Determine the fraction  $|b|^2$  of the  $D$ -wave component by calculating the magnetic moment and comparing with the observational value  $\mu = 0.85735\mu_N$  (where  $\mu_N$  is the nuclear magneton). The magnetic moment operator for the deuteron is

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{\mu_N}{\hbar}(\mathbf{L}_p + g_p\mathbf{S}_p + g_n\mathbf{S}_n) \quad (1)$$

where  $g_p = 5.587$  and  $g_n = -3.826$ . Note that  $\mathbf{L}_p = \frac{m_n}{m_p+m_n}\mathbf{L} \approx \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L}$ . For this problem you may neglect the neutron-proton mass difference. The magnetic moment *value* is the expectation value of  $\mu_z$  in the state with maximal angular momentum in the  $z$ -direction. Evaluate the contribution from the expectation value in the  $D_1$ -state in two different ways: (a) Use the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients to write this state in terms of products of  $L$  and  $S$  eigenstates. (b) Use the *projection theorem* for vector operators. (*Hint*: For the second way, I think you’ll want to show as a lemma that  $\mathbf{S}_p$  and  $\mathbf{S}_n$  have equal expectation values in an eigenstate of  $\mathbf{S}^2$ , which can be shown using the projection theorem for vector operators with respect to the total spin. The projection theorem is explained in my notes on the Wigner-Eckart theorem.) *Answer*:  $|b|^2 \approx 0.04$ .