

Notes on the "measure compensating" function, $\Delta(A^\mu)$, which appears in generating functional for gauge fields as source

$$Z_J \sim \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \delta[F(A_\mu)] \Delta(A^\mu) \exp\left[i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_J(A_\mu)\right]$$

- Begin with its general form

- "Partition" the functional integral into a component $\int \mathcal{D}A_\mu^\ominus$, which includes only those configurations which are not related by gauge transformations, and a component $\int \mathcal{D}\theta$, which denotes all possible gauge transformations (dropping the $a = 1 \dots n^2 - 1$ index on both A_μ and θ for simplicity), i.e.,

(trial)
$$Z_J \sim \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu^\ominus \int \mathcal{D}\theta \delta[F(\bar{A}_\mu^\ominus)] e^{[i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_J(\bar{A}_\mu^\ominus)]}$$

$\uparrow$
 drop $\prod_{\mu=0 \dots 3}$ as well for simplicity

where
$$\mathcal{L}_J(A_\mu) = -J_\mu^a A_\mu^a - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_a^{\mu\nu}$$

and $\delta[F(A_\mu)]$ in functional integral enforces the (gauge-fixing) condition $F(A_\mu) = 0$
 [e.g., $F(A_\mu) = \partial_\nu A^\nu$ gives Lorenz gauge]

Finally, $\bar{A}_\mu^\theta$ denotes gauge-transformed version of $\bar{A}_\mu$

[Basically, for given $\bar{A}_\mu$, we end up "picking" from $\int \mathcal{D}\theta$ only the θ which satisfies $F(\bar{A}_\mu^\theta) = 0$]

- Since action is gauge-invariant, we can replace $\bar{A}_\mu^\theta$ by $\bar{A}_\mu$ there to get

$$\sim \underbrace{\int \mathcal{D} \bar{A}_\mu}_{\text{again, gauge-equivalent configurations only (as desired)}} \underbrace{\exp \left[i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_J(\bar{A}_\mu) \right]}_{\text{no } \theta \text{ here}} \times \underbrace{\int \mathcal{D}\theta \delta[F(\bar{A}_\mu^\theta)]}_{\text{define this to be } 1/\Delta(\bar{A}^\mu)}$$

again, gauge-equivalent configurations only (as desired) $1/\Delta(\bar{A}^\mu)$ (functional)

So, we need to "re"-weight integrand by above $\Delta(\bar{A}^\mu)$ so that each representative (of gauge-equivalent class) $\bar{A}_\mu$ is picked with equal weight

- Note that $\Delta(\bar{A}^\mu)$ is gauge-invariant, i.e.,

$\Delta(\bar{A}_\mu^{\theta^0}) = \Delta(\bar{A}^\mu)$, since combination of two gauge transformations [θ^0 here and θ in definition of $\Delta(\bar{A}_\mu)$] is another gauge transformation...and we are integrating over all gauge transformations in Z_J

$$\Rightarrow (\text{final}) Z_J \sim \int \mathcal{D}\bar{A}_\mu \mathcal{D}\theta \delta[F(\bar{A}_\mu^\theta)] \times \Delta[\bar{A}_\mu^\theta] \times \exp\left[i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_J(\bar{A}_\mu^\theta)\right]$$

(re-weighting)
new factor (relative to trial Z_J),

but with $\bar{A}_\mu$ "replaced" by $\bar{A}_\mu^\theta$ due to $\Delta(\bar{A}_\mu)$ being gauge-invariant

— Relabeling $\bar{A}_\mu^\theta$ by A_μ [i.e., "going back" to integrating over all configurations] gives

$$Z_J \sim \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \delta[F(A_\mu)] \Delta(A_\mu) \exp\left[i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_J(A_\mu)\right]$$

— Next, calculate $\Delta(A_\mu) = 1 / \int \mathcal{D}\theta \delta[F(A_\mu^\theta)]$

— Infinitesimal gauge transformations are

$$A_\mu^\theta(x) \approx A_\mu(x) + f_{bcd} A_{\mu c}(x) \theta_d(x) - \frac{1}{g} \delta_{bd} \partial_\mu \theta_d(x)$$

← again, gauge transformed ψ

$$[\text{and } \psi^\theta(x) \approx \psi(x) + i \theta_a(x) T^a \psi(x)]$$

↪ generator of transformation representation of ψ

Note that the above are related to the ones used in lecture / Lahiri & Pal Eq. 14.11:

$$\psi' = \psi - ig \beta_a(x) T_a \psi \quad \text{and}$$

$$A_\mu'^a = A_\mu^a + \partial_\mu \beta_a + g f_{abc} \beta_b(x) A_\mu^c$$

(4)

provided we identify β_a with $-\frac{1}{g} \theta_a$

- In these notes, we'll use the θ notation above (instead of β of lecture) - I am sorry for this change, but (hopefully) it's a "trivial" one!
 functional derivative

$$\text{Thus, } \mathcal{F}_a(A_{\mu b}^{\theta}) \approx \mathcal{F}_a(A_{\mu b}) + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_a}{\delta A_{\mu b}} \times \underbrace{\text{shift in } A_{\mu b}}_{\left[f_{bcd} A_{\mu c} - \frac{1}{g} \delta_{bd} \partial_{\mu} \right] \theta_d(x) \times \delta^{(4)}(x-y)}$$

since we have $n^2 - 1$ conditions, e.g., $\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{\nu} = 0$ for each $a = 1 \dots n^2 - 1$

- For compact notation, define

$$\boxed{D_{bd\mu}} \equiv \delta_{bd} \partial_{\mu} - g f_{bcd} A_{\mu c} \quad \text{so that}$$

$$\text{shift in } \mathcal{F}_a(A^{\nu}) = \left[-\frac{1}{g} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_a}{\delta A_{b\mu}} \right) \times D_{\mu bd}(A^{\nu}) \right] \theta_d(x) \delta^{(4)}(x-y)$$

this is just "response" of $\mathcal{F}_a(A^{\nu})$ to an infinitesimal gauge transformation [with parameter $\theta(x)$]

Thus, in analogy to

$$\int dx \delta[f(x)] = \frac{1}{f'(a)} + \dots \quad \text{where } f(a) = 0$$

like above response...

we get

$$\pi \int_a \delta \theta_a \delta \left[\mathcal{F}_a(A_{b\mu}) \right] = g / \det \left[\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_a}{\delta A_{b\mu}} \right) D_{\mu bd}(A^{\nu}) \right]$$

↑ δ -function

where "det" is in adjoint^{representation}, i.e., $a = 1 \dots n^2 - 1$, (5)
 space and in the sense of functional integral
 i.e., in "operator" space

$$[\text{e.g. } \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \{ i \int d^4x (\phi A \phi) \} \sim 1 / \sqrt{\det A}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta(A^\mu) = g^{-1} \left| \det \left[\left(\delta F_a / \delta A_{\mu b} \right) D_{\mu b d} (A^\nu) \right] \right|$$

- We can "prove" above formula (why "det"?)
 by diagonalizing (in both spaces mentioned above)
 ... like we did ^{earlier} for case of scalar field
 functional integral...

- start with abelian case, i.e., only one $\theta(x)$:
 we want to show that

$$\int \mathcal{D}\theta \delta(B\theta) \sim 1 / \det B, \text{ where } B \text{ is some}$$

δ -function

hermitian operator $[(\delta F / \delta A) D]$ should be chosen
 as hermitian in order to have hermitian Lagrangian
 density for ghost fields: see later]

- As we did for scalar field, expand the arbitrary
 $\theta(x)$ in complete / orthonormal set of eigenfunctions
 of B : $\theta(x) = \sum_j c_j \theta_j(x)$, where $B \theta_j(x) = b_j \theta_j(x)$
eigenvalue

$$\text{so that } \int \mathcal{D}\theta(x) \sim \prod_j \int dc_j \dots (1)$$

(just like for $\int \mathcal{D}\phi$ case done earlier)

- Thus, we can have a precise definition of δ -function

of functional as follows:

(6)

$\delta[B\theta(x)]$ implies only non-vanishing contribution is from $B\theta(x) = 0$ for all x , i.e.,

$$B\left[\sum_j c_j \theta_j(x)\right] = \sum_j c_j b_j \theta_j(x) = 0$$

Thus all of the coefficients of $\theta_j(x)$ must be

$$\text{zero, i.e., } \delta B[\theta(x)] = \prod_j \delta(c_j b_j) \dots (2)$$

$\downarrow$ $\rightarrow$ ordinary δ -function

Combining (1) & (2), we get

$$\int \mathcal{D}\theta \delta(B\theta) \sim \prod_j \int dc_j \underbrace{\delta(c_j b_j)}_{\delta(c_j)/b_j} = \frac{1}{b_1 \dots b_\infty} = \frac{1}{\det B}$$

— Next, generalize to non-abelian case, i.e.,

consider $\prod_{a=1 \dots n^2-1} \int \mathcal{D}\theta_a \underbrace{\pi_b \delta(B_{bc} \theta_c)}_{\text{adjoint representation, i.e.,}}$ since
both θ and B come with $\underbrace{a=1 \dots n^2-1}_{\text{indices}}$ indices

— We need to satisfy $B_{bc} \theta_c(x) = 0$ for all b

— diagonalize operators B_{bc} in adjoint space, i.e.,
 $B_{bc} \rightarrow B_b^{\theta} \delta_{bc}$ $\leftarrow$ new basis so that $\underbrace{B_b^{\theta}}_{\text{not matrix}} \theta_b^{\theta}(x) = 0$

i.e., for each b , we can repeat the above abelian story

(and functional integral over θ_a in original basis

is same as over θ^{θ}) ... still matrix in operator space

Finally we obtain, $\int \mathcal{D}\theta_a \pi_b \delta(B_{bc} \theta_c) = (\det B_1^{\theta})^{-1} \dots (\det B_{n^2-1}^{\theta})^{-1}$
 $= 1/(\det B)$, where "det" is in both spaces ...