

Notes on

functional integral formulation of fermion fields

- (Quantum) Fermion/Dirac fields satisfy anti-commutation relations (\Rightarrow Fermi-Dirac statistics / Pauli exclusion principle obeyed by the corresponding quanta/particles)
- In order to incorporate this feature in PI formalism, we need "classical" fermion fields, i.e., "anti-commuting" c-number functions
- We can start with anti-commuting numbers (also called Grassmann numbers/algebra) and use them to "build" anti-commuting functions (see below)

Grassmann algebra

- Let ξ be an anticommuting number such that
 - (i) $\xi^2 = 0$
 - (ii) $\int d\xi = 0$
 - (iii) $\int \xi_i d\xi_j = 1$ and
 - (iv) $\frac{df(\xi)}{d\xi} = f'(0)$, where $f'(x)$ is 1st derivative of $f(x)$

[Note that Grassmann numbers cannot be put in order (from "small" to "large"). Thus $\int d\xi$ means sum over all Grassmann numbers.]

Explanation of above formulae

- (i) follows from ^{simply} anticommutativity : $[\xi, \xi]_+ = \xi\xi + \xi\xi = 2\xi\xi = 0$

- (ii) and (iii) are only non-trivial and consistent definition of integrals as follows...
in $\int \xi d\xi$

Shift the integral variable by a Grassmann number. Since integral is really sum over all Grassmann numbers, it should not change, i.e.,

$$\int (\xi + n) d(\xi + n) = \int (\xi + n) d\xi = \int \xi d\xi \Rightarrow n \int d\xi = 0$$

... for any $n \Rightarrow \int d\xi = 0$, i.e., (ii) above

Suppose we set $\int \xi d\xi = 0$ [instead of 1 as in.

(iii) above]. Then $\int d\xi = 0 = \int \xi d\xi$. Since

$\int \xi^N d\xi = 0$ by (i), we would get that all integrals are zero (trivial) \Rightarrow only non-

trivial definition of integral is to choose

$\int \xi d\xi \neq 0$ ($= 1$ by choice of normalization).

- Use (i) - (iii) to prove (iv) as follows. Taylor expand : $f(\xi) = f(0) + f'(0)\xi + \frac{1}{2!}\xi^2 f''(0) \dots$

where 3rd term onwards is zero due to (i)

Thus $\frac{df(\xi)}{d\xi} = f'(0)$. Finally, use (ii) & (iii) and above ^{Taylor} expansion of $f(\xi)$ in $\int f(\xi) d\xi$: only $f'(0)\xi$ term in expansion of $f(\xi)$ contributes to integral, giving $f'(0)$.

(3)

In order to describe complex (non-hermitian) fermion fields (see below), we need a set of two Grassmann numbers like ξ above. Denote them by $\xi \equiv \xi + i\eta$ and $\bar{\xi} = \xi - i\eta$.

Then above relations for ξ (and similarly η) give

$$(i) \quad \xi^2 = 0 = \bar{\xi}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \bar{\xi} + \bar{\xi} \xi = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \int d\xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int d\bar{\xi} = 0$$

$$(iii) \quad \int \bar{\xi} d\xi = \int \xi d\bar{\xi} = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int \xi d\xi = 0 = \int \bar{\xi} d\bar{\xi}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \int \bar{\xi} \xi d\bar{\xi} d\xi = +4$$

Fermion/Dirac fields

- A Grassmann field is a function of space-time whose values are anti-commuting numbers:

$$\not{\phi}(x) = \sum_i \underbrace{\xi_i}_{\text{Grassmann numbers}} \underbrace{\phi_i(x)}_{\text{ordinary (c-number) basis functions}}$$

for Grassmann

Grassmann/ ordinary (c-number) basis functions

- In particular, to describe fermion/ Dirac field, we take $\phi_i(x)$ above to be a basis of 4-component spinors, i.e., complete set of (Dirac spinors) eigenfunctions of a hermitian operator, A :

$$A \psi_i(x) = a_i \psi_i(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\psi}_i(x) A = \bar{\psi}_i(x) a_i \quad (i=1,2\dots\infty)$$

$$\text{with} \quad \not{\psi}(x) = \sum_j \xi_j \psi_j(x) \quad \text{and thus} \quad \bar{\psi}(x) = \sum_j \bar{\psi}_j(x) \bar{\xi}_j$$

fermion/Dirac field

(4)

In PI formalism, we have to evaluate functional integral of

$$\exp(i \int d^4x \bar{\psi} A \psi) \dots \text{which using above relations is } \exp\left(\sum_j i a_j \bar{\xi}_j \xi_j\right) = \prod_j (1 + i a_j \bar{\xi}_j \xi_j)$$

[explicitly, Taylor-expand the exponential and use $\xi^2 = 0$ etc...]

$$\text{Thus, } \int D\bar{\psi} \int D\psi \exp(i \int d^4x \bar{\psi} A \psi)$$

$$= \prod_j \int d\bar{\xi}_j d\xi_j (1 + i a_j \bar{\xi}_j \xi_j) = \prod_j (1 + i a_j)$$

use above properties of integrals $\sim (\det A)$

... cf $1/(\det A)$ for a complex scalar field ...

(We will use above result to "derive" ghost fields a bit later.)

Just like for scalar field discussed in lecture,

we can (re-) derive the Feynman rules

for Dirac theory by using generating functional [with source field being Grassmann-valued]:

see Peskin & Schroeder, page 302 - 303