

Homework 4 Solutions

4.1 - Weyl or Chiral representation for γ -matrices

4.1.1: Anti-commutation relations

We can write out the γ^μ matrices as

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\sigma^\mu = (\mathbb{1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}), \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu = (\mathbb{1}_2, -\boldsymbol{\sigma})$$

The anticommutator is

$$\begin{aligned} \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\nu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\nu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu + \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the upper-left component, $\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu$. For $\mu = \nu = 0$,

$$\sigma^0 \bar{\sigma}^0 + \sigma^0 \bar{\sigma}^0 = 2 \times \mathbb{1}_2$$

For $\mu = 0$ and $\nu \neq 0$,

$$\sigma^0 \bar{\sigma}^i + \sigma^i \bar{\sigma}^0 = 0$$

For $\mu \neq 0$ and $\nu \neq 0$, we get

$$\sigma^i \bar{\sigma}^j + \sigma^j \bar{\sigma}^i = -\sigma^i \sigma^j - \sigma^j \sigma^i = -\{\sigma^i, \sigma^j\} = -2\delta^{ij}$$

Putting all of these together, we get

$$\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu} \times \mathbb{1}_2$$

In exactly the same way,

$$\bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu + \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu} \times \mathbb{1}_2$$

so

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \times \mathbb{1}_4$$

4.1.2: Boost and rotation generators

We can write

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] = \frac{i}{4}(\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} - 2\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu) = \frac{i}{2}(g^{\mu\nu} - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu) \quad (1)$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\nu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}^\nu\sigma^\mu \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} g^{\mu\nu} - \sigma^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & g^{\mu\nu} - \bar{\sigma}^\nu\sigma^\mu \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

Then,

$$K^i = S^{0i} = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

$$J^k = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}S^{ij} \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk} \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} g^{ij} - \sigma^j\bar{\sigma}^i & 0 \\ 0 & g^{ij} - \bar{\sigma}^j\sigma^i \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^j\bar{\sigma}^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^j\bar{\sigma}^i \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{i}{8}\epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} [\sigma^j, \sigma^i] & 0 \\ 0 & [\sigma^j, \sigma^i] \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{i}{8}\epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} 2i\epsilon^{jim}\sigma^m & 0 \\ 0 & 2i\epsilon^{jim}\sigma^m \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

4.2 - General representation of γ -matrices

4.2.1: Lorentz group algebra

In order to have a compact notation, let us evaluate the following,

$$[S^{\mu\nu}, S^{\rho\sigma}] \quad (10)$$

We look at this because the Lorentz generators are made out of $S^{\mu\nu}$, and their commutation will follow from the quantity above. We can write

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] = \frac{i}{4}(\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} - 2\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu) = \frac{i}{2}(g^{\mu\nu} - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu) \quad (11)$$

Now, since $g^{\mu\nu}$ is implicitly multiplied with the identity spinor space, the commutator we are after is

$$[S^{\mu\nu}, S^{\rho\sigma}] = -\frac{1}{4}[g^{\mu\nu} - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu, g^{\rho\sigma} - \gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho] = \frac{1}{4}[\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho, \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu]$$

The strategy to evaluate this commutator is roughly as follows. We keep anti-commuting the γ -matrices in the first term, till we get the second term. Each anti-commutation gives us something in the form $g^{\mu\nu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\sigma$. We collect all these terms in the end, and rewrite them in terms of $S^{\mu\nu}$. Carrying out the calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} [S^{\mu\nu}, S^{\rho\sigma}] &= \frac{1}{4}(\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}((2g^{\sigma\rho} - \gamma^\rho\gamma^\sigma)\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\rho\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\rho(2g^{\sigma\nu} - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\sigma)\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - 2g^{\sigma\nu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu + \gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - 2g^{\sigma\nu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu + \gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu(2g^{\sigma\mu} - \gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma) - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - 2g^{\sigma\nu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu + 2g^{\sigma\mu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu - \gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - 2g^{\sigma\nu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu + 2g^{\sigma\mu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu - (2g^{\rho\nu} - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho)\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - 2g^{\sigma\nu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu + 2g^{\sigma\mu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu - 2g^{\rho\nu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma + \gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - 2g^{\sigma\nu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu + 2g^{\sigma\mu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu - 2g^{\rho\nu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma \\ &\quad + \gamma^\nu(2g^{\rho\mu} - \gamma^\mu\gamma^\rho)\gamma^\sigma - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - 2g^{\sigma\nu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu + 2g^{\sigma\mu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu - 2g^{\rho\nu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma + 2g^{\rho\mu}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\sigma \\ &\quad - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\sigma - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - 2g^{\sigma\nu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu + 2g^{\sigma\mu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu - 2g^{\rho\nu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma + 2g^{\rho\mu}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\sigma \\ &\quad - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu(2g^{\rho\sigma} - \gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(2g^{\sigma\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu - 2g^{\sigma\nu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu + 2g^{\sigma\mu}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu - 2g^{\rho\nu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma + 2g^{\rho\mu}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\sigma - 2g^{\rho\sigma}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu \\ &\quad + \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(g^{\nu\sigma}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu - g^{\mu\sigma}\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu + g^{\nu\rho}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma - g^{\mu\rho}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can add $g^{\nu\sigma}g^{\rho\mu} - g^{\mu\rho}g^{\sigma\nu}$ and $g^{\nu\rho}g^{\sigma\mu} - g^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\rho}$:

$$\begin{aligned} [S^{\mu\nu}, S^{\rho\sigma}] &= i[-\frac{i}{2}(g^{\mu\rho} - \gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu)g^{\nu\sigma} + \frac{i}{2}(g^{\nu\rho} - \gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu)g^{\mu\sigma} \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{2}(g^{\sigma\mu} - \gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma)g^{\nu\rho} + \frac{i}{2}(g^{\sigma\nu} - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\sigma)g^{\mu\rho}] \end{aligned}$$

Using the above and the fact that $S^{\mu\nu}$ is antisymmetric, we get

$$[S^{\mu\nu}, S^{\rho\sigma}] = i(g^{\nu\rho} S^{\mu\sigma} - g^{\mu\rho} S^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\nu\sigma} S^{\mu\rho} + g^{\mu\sigma} S^{\nu\rho})$$

In principle, we are done already, because one can show that this is the same commutation relation that the $\mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}$ matrices (defined in Problem 4.2.2) satisfy, and hence $S^{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the same commutation relation as Lorentz transformation generator.

However, let us calculate the commutators explicitly in terms of J^i, K^i etc.

$$[J^i, J^j] = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{mni} \epsilon^{pqj} [S^{mn}, S^{pq}] \quad (12)$$

$$= \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{mni} \epsilon^{pqj} (g^{np} S^{mq} - g^{mp} S^{nq} - g^{nq} S^{mp} + g^{mq} S^{np}) \quad (13)$$

$$= -i \epsilon^{mni} \epsilon^{pqj} g^{mp} S^{nq} \quad (14)$$

$$= i \epsilon^{mni} \epsilon^{mqj} S^{nq} \quad (15)$$

$$= i (\delta_{nq} \delta_{ij} - \delta_{jn} \delta_{iq}) S^{nq} \quad (16)$$

$$= -i \delta_{jn} \delta_{iq} S^{nq} \quad (17)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{2} (\delta_{jn} \delta_{iq} - \delta_{jq} \delta_{in}) S^{nq} \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{nqk} S^{nq} \quad (19)$$

$$= i \epsilon^{ijk} J^k \quad (20)$$

where we have used the ϵ -tensor contraction identity

$$\epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{imn} = \delta_{jm} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{jn} \delta_{km} \quad (21)$$

and the anti-symmetry of $S^{\mu\nu}$ in the above derivation.

The other two commutation relations follow from similar manipulations.

$$[K^i, K^j] = [S^{0i}, S^{0j}] = -i S^{ij} = -i \epsilon^{ijk} J^k \quad (22)$$

$$[K^i, J^j] = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{mnj} [S^{0i}, S^{mn}] \quad (23)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{mnj} (g^{im} S^{0n} - g^{in} S^{0m}) \quad (24)$$

$$= -i \epsilon^{inj} S^{0n} \quad (25)$$

$$= i \epsilon^{ijk} J^k \quad (26)$$

4.2.2: Meaning of μ index on γ^μ

We have the commutator

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\gamma^\mu, S^{\rho\sigma}] &= \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, g^{\rho\sigma} - \gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho] \\
 &= -\frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho] \\
 &= -\frac{i}{2}\gamma^\sigma[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\rho] + [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\sigma]\gamma^\rho \\
 &= -i\{\gamma^\sigma(g^{\mu\rho} - \gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu) + (g^{\mu\sigma} - \gamma^\sigma\gamma^\mu)\gamma^\rho\} \\
 &= -ig^{\mu\rho}\gamma^\sigma - ig^{\mu\sigma}\gamma^\rho + i\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu + i\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\mu\gamma^\rho \\
 &= -ig^{\mu\rho}\gamma^\sigma - ig^{\mu\sigma}\gamma^\rho + 2ig^{\mu\rho}\gamma^\sigma - i\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\mu\gamma^\rho + i\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\mu\gamma^\rho \\
 &= ig^{\mu\rho}\gamma^\sigma - ig^{\mu\sigma}\gamma^\rho
 \end{aligned}$$

We can write the right-hand side down in the same form by substituting the explicit representation of $(\mathcal{J}^{\rho\sigma})^\mu_\nu$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{J}^{\rho\sigma})^\mu_\nu\gamma^\nu &= ig^{\mu\alpha}(\delta^\rho_\alpha\delta^\sigma_\nu - \delta^\sigma_\alpha\delta^\rho_\nu)\gamma^\nu \\
 &= ig^{\mu\alpha}\delta^\rho_\alpha\delta^\sigma_\nu\gamma^\nu - ig^{\mu\alpha}\delta^\sigma_\alpha\delta^\rho_\nu\gamma^\nu \\
 &= ig^{\mu\rho}\gamma^\sigma - ig^{\mu\sigma}\gamma^\rho
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$[\gamma^\mu, S^{\rho\sigma}] = (\mathcal{J}^{\rho\sigma})^\mu_\nu\gamma^\nu$$

4.2.3: Chirality projection operator

Following the steps in Problem 4.2.1, we can write,

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}(g^{\mu\nu} - \gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu) \quad (27)$$

Note that γ^5 anti-commutes with all the γ^μ .

$$\{\gamma^5, \gamma^\mu\} = i(\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\gamma^\mu + \gamma^\mu\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3) \quad (28)$$

For a given value of μ , we can anti-commute the γ^μ in each term all the way to the corresponding γ in γ^5 . In each anti-commutation, we pick up a negative sign. There are even anti-commutations in one term, and odd in the other, and thus they always cancel. Let us do the steps for $\mu = 1$.

$$\{\gamma^5, \gamma^1\} = i(\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\gamma^1 + \gamma^1\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3) \quad (29)$$

$$= i((-1)^2\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 + (-1)\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3) \quad (30)$$

$$= 0 \quad (31)$$

Therefore,

$$[\gamma^5, S^{\mu\nu}] = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^5, (g^{\mu\nu} - \gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu)] \quad (32)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{2}[\gamma^5, \gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu] \quad (33)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{2}[\gamma^5, \gamma^\mu]\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\mu[\gamma^5, \gamma^\nu] \quad (34)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{2}[\gamma^5, \gamma^\mu]\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\mu[\gamma^5, \gamma^\nu] \quad (35)$$

$$= -i(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\mu\gamma^5\gamma^\nu) \quad (36)$$

$$= -i(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu - \gamma^5\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu) = 0 \quad (37)$$

4.3 - Lorentz Transformations

4.3.1: Modified spinor (Ex 4.6 Lahiri and Pal)

Please ignore the text above the line in the scan.

Recall that $\{\sigma^i, \sigma^j\}_+ = 2\delta^{ij}$

$$\Rightarrow \{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\}_+ = -2\mathbb{1}_4.$$

Therefore ~~$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\}_+ = 2g^{\mu\nu}$~~

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\}_+ = 2g^{\mu\nu}\mathbb{1}_4$$

$$(\gamma^0)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^0$$

$$(\gamma^i)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^0 \gamma^i \gamma^0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\gamma^\mu)^+ = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0$$

□

problem 4.2.1. / L&P Ex 4.6

Under Lorentz transformation

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi' = e^{-\frac{i}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu}} \psi$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_c \rightarrow \psi'_c = C \gamma_0^T e^{\frac{i}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu}^* \omega^{\mu\nu}} \psi^*$$

$$= C \gamma_0^T e^{\frac{i}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu}^* \omega^{\mu\nu}} (C \gamma_0^T)^{-1} (C \gamma_0^T) \psi^*$$

$$= \exp\left[\frac{i}{4} (C \gamma_0^T) (\sigma_{\mu\nu}^* \omega^{\mu\nu}) (C \gamma_0^T)^{-1}\right] \cdot (C \gamma_0^T) \psi^*$$

(5)

recall that

$$(\gamma^\mu)^\dagger = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_\mu^* = \gamma_0^T \gamma_\mu^T \gamma_0^T$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \sigma_{\mu\nu}^* &= -\frac{i}{2} [\gamma_\mu^*, \gamma_\nu^*] \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \gamma_0^T [\gamma_\mu^T, \gamma_\nu^T] \gamma_0^T \\ &= \gamma_0^T \sigma_{\mu\nu}^T \gamma_0^T \end{aligned}$$

Also note $\gamma_0^T = \gamma_0 = \gamma_0^{-1}$ and $\gamma_0^2 = \mathbb{1}$

$$\Rightarrow (C \gamma_0^T) (\sigma_{\mu\nu}^* \omega^{\mu\nu}) (C \gamma_0^T)^{-1}$$

$$= C \sigma_{\mu\nu}^T C^{-1} \omega^{\mu\nu}$$

(use $C \gamma_\mu^T C^{-1} = -\gamma_\mu$)

$$= -\frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} C [\gamma_\mu^T, \gamma_\nu^T] C^{-1}$$

$$= -\frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$$

$$= -\omega^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

Therefore $\psi'_c = \exp[-\frac{i}{4} \omega^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}] \psi_c$, hence have the same transformation properties as ψ .

4.3.2: Bilinears

Part (i) Ex 4.5 Lahiri and Pal

• Problem 4.2-2 / L&P Ex. 4.5

(6)

ψ transform under Lorentz transformation as

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi' = S(\Lambda) \psi, \text{ where } S(\Lambda) = e^{-\frac{i}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu}}$$

$\bar{\psi}$ transform as:

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi}' = \psi^\dagger e^{\frac{i}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu}^{\dagger} \omega^{\mu\nu}} \gamma^0$$

recall that $\gamma^0 (\gamma^\mu)^\dagger \gamma^0 = \gamma^\mu \Rightarrow \gamma^0 \sigma_{\mu\nu}^\dagger \gamma^0 = \sigma_{\mu\nu}$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\psi}' = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^0 e^{\frac{i}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu}^\dagger \omega^{\mu\nu}} \gamma^0$$

$$= \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 e^{\frac{i}{4} \gamma^0 \sigma_{\mu\nu}^\dagger \omega^{\mu\nu} \gamma^0}$$

$$= \bar{\psi} e^{\frac{i}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu}}$$

$$= \bar{\psi} S(\Lambda)^{-1}$$

Therefore $\bar{\psi} \psi \rightarrow \bar{\psi}' \psi' = \bar{\psi} \psi$, i.e., $\bar{\psi} \psi$ transform as a scalar.

To get transformation properties of $\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$ and $\bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi$, we recall

$$S^{-1}(\Lambda) \gamma^\mu \Lambda^\nu_\mu S(\Lambda) = \gamma^\nu \quad (\text{Eq 4.30 in L\&P})$$

Since $\Lambda^\alpha_\beta \Lambda^\beta_\gamma = \delta^\alpha_\gamma$, we can rewrite the above equation as.

$$S^{-1}(\Lambda) \gamma^\mu S(\Lambda) = \gamma^\nu \Lambda^\mu_\nu$$

Then $\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \rightarrow \bar{\psi} S^{-1}(\Lambda) \gamma^\mu S(\Lambda) \psi = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu \psi \Lambda^\mu_\nu$

and $\bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi \rightarrow \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} S^{-1}(\Lambda) [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] S(\Lambda) \psi = \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\rho\sigma} \psi \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma$

Therefore $\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$ and $\bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi$ transform as vector and tensor respectively. $\square$

Part (ii)

We have that

$$\psi^\dagger \rightarrow \psi^\dagger \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger$$

In infinitesimal form, this reads

$$\psi^\dagger \rightarrow \psi^\dagger \left[1 + \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (S^{\mu\nu})^\dagger\right]$$

If we define

$$\bar{\psi} := \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$$

we have the transformation

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \psi^\dagger \left[1 + \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (S^{\mu\nu})^\dagger\right] \gamma^0$$

Since S^{ij} is given by

$$S^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix}$$

we have $(S^{ij})^\dagger = (S^{ij})$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma^0, S^{ij}] &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^k \\ \sigma^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^k \\ \sigma^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In terms with μ or ν zero, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S^{0i}) &= -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^i \end{pmatrix}, \\ (S^{0i})^\dagger &= \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^i \end{pmatrix} = -(S^{0i}) \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the anti-commutator,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\gamma^0, S^{0i}\} &= -\frac{i}{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(S^{\mu\nu})^\dagger \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 (S^{\mu\nu})$$

and the transformation for $\bar{\psi}$ becomes

$$\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \rightarrow \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \left[1 + \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (S^{\mu\nu}) \right]$$

For finite rotations, this is just

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi} \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}$$

From this relationship, we immediately see that

$$\bar{\psi} \psi \rightarrow \bar{\psi} \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} \psi$$

so

$$\bar{\psi} \psi \rightarrow \bar{\psi} \psi$$

that is, $\bar{\psi} \psi$ transforms like a Lorentz scalar.

4.4 - Gordon identity

We begin with the following two equations,

$$(\not{p} - m)u(p) = 0 \quad (38)$$

$$\bar{u}(p')(\not{p}' - m) = 0 \quad (39)$$

Multiplying with appropriate spinors and adding these two together,

$$\bar{u}(p')\gamma^\mu(\not{p} - m)u(p) + \bar{u}(p')(\not{p}' - m)\gamma^\mu u(p) = 0 \quad (40)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{u}(p')(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\alpha p_\alpha + \gamma^\alpha\gamma^\mu p'_\alpha)u(p) - 2m\bar{u}(p')\gamma^\mu u(p) = 0 \quad (41)$$

We can write the first term as,

$$\bar{u}(p')(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\alpha \frac{1}{2}((p_\alpha + p'_\alpha) + (p_\alpha - p'_\alpha)) + \gamma^\alpha\gamma^\mu \frac{1}{2}((p_\alpha + p'_\alpha) - (p_\alpha - p'_\alpha)))u(p) \quad (42)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(p_\alpha + p'_\alpha)\bar{u}(p')(\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\alpha\})u(p) + \frac{1}{2}(p_\alpha - p'_\alpha)\bar{u}(p')[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\alpha]u(p) \quad (43)$$

$$= (p_\mu + p'_\mu)\bar{u}(p')u(p) - i\sigma^{\mu\alpha}(p_\alpha - p'_\alpha)\bar{u}(p')u(p) \quad (44)$$

Therefore, Gordon identity,

$$\bar{u}(p')[(p_\mu + p'_\mu) - i\sigma^{\mu\alpha}(p_\alpha - p'_\alpha)]u(p) - 2m\bar{u}(p')\gamma^\mu u(p) = 0 \quad (45)$$

Since the equation for the v spinor looks exactly like the u spinor case except for a relative negative sign on the mass parameter m , it is simple to write down the Gordon identity for v .

$$\bar{u}(p')[(p_\mu + p'_\mu) - i\sigma^{\mu\alpha}(p_\alpha - p'_\alpha)]u(p) + 2m\bar{u}(p')\gamma^\mu u(p) = 0 \quad (46)$$

4.5 - Completeness of spinors

Part (i) Ex 4.8 Lahiri and Pal

This is a special case of the Gordon identity. For completeness' sake, we do the calculation fully. We are given the following equations,

$$(\not{p} - m)u(p) = 0 \quad (47)$$

$$\bar{u}(p)(\not{p} - m) = 0 \quad (48)$$

Proceeding as suggested in the problem,

$$\bar{u}(p)\gamma^\mu(\not{p} - m)u(p) + \bar{u}(p)(\not{p} - m)\gamma^\mu u(p) = 0 \quad (49)$$

$$\Rightarrow p_\alpha \bar{u}(p)(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\alpha + \gamma^\alpha\gamma^\mu)u(p) - 2m\bar{u}(p)\gamma^\mu u(p) = 0 \quad (50)$$

$$\Rightarrow p^\mu \bar{u}(p)u(p) - m \bar{u}(p)\gamma^\mu u(p) = 0 \quad (51)$$

The same calculation with the v spinors yields,

$$p^\mu \bar{v}(p)v(p) + m \bar{v}(p)\gamma^\mu v(p) = 0 \quad (52)$$

If we put $\mu = 0$ in the u equation, we get,

$$p^0 \bar{u}_s(p) u_r(p) - m \bar{u}_s(p) \gamma^0 u_r(p) = 0 \quad (53)$$

$$\Rightarrow E \bar{u}_s(p) u_r(p) - m u_s^\dagger(p) \gamma^0 \gamma^0 u_r(p) = 0 \quad (54)$$

$$\Rightarrow E \bar{u}_s(p) u_r(p) - m u_s^\dagger(p) u_r(p) = 0 \quad (55)$$

$$\Rightarrow E \bar{u}_s(p) u_r(p) - 2m E \delta_{rs} = 0 \quad (56)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{u}_s(p) u_r(p) = 2m \delta_{rs} \quad (57)$$

$$p^0 \bar{v}(p) v(p) + m \bar{v}(p) \gamma^0 v(p) = 0 \quad (58)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{v}_s(p) v_r(p) = -2m \delta_{rs} \quad (59)$$

Part (ii) Ex 4.10 Lahiri and Pal

problem 4.3 / L&P Ex 4.10

(7)

We first prove that $\sum_s U_s(p) \bar{U}_s(p) = \not{p} + m$

Recall that $\bar{U}_r(p) U_s(p) = -\bar{V}_r(p) V_s(p) = 2m \delta_{rs}$

and ~~the~~ the Dirac equations: $(\not{p} - m) U_s(p) = 0$; $(\not{p} + m) V_s(p) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We have } \sum_s U_s(p) \bar{U}_s(p) U_r(p) \\ &= \sum_s U_s(p) (2m \delta_{rs}) \\ &= 2m U_r(p) \end{aligned}$$

$$(\not{p} + m) U_r(p) = 2m U_r(p) \quad \text{using Dirac equation.}$$

$$\text{Also, } \sum_s U_s(p) \bar{U}_s(p) V_r(p) = 0$$

$$\text{and } (\not{p} + m) V_r(p) = 0$$

Therefore, the two operators $\sum_s U_s(p) \bar{U}_s(p)$ and $\not{p} + m$ ~~agree~~ agree with each other when acting on the four basis: $U_r(p), V_r(p)$ ($r=1,2$). This means that $\sum_s U_s(p) \bar{U}_s(p) = \not{p} + m$.

We can prove $\sum_s V_s(p) \bar{V}_s(p) = \not{p} - m$ in a similar way:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_s V_s(p) \bar{V}_s(p) V_r(p) = -2m V_r(p) \\ (\not{p} - m) V_r(p) = -2m V_r(p) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \sum_s V_s(p) \bar{V}_s(p) = \not{p} - m$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_s V_s(p) \bar{V}_s(p) U_r(p) = 0 \\ (\not{p} - m) U_r(p) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

□

4.6 - Helicity and chirality projection operators

problem 4.4 / L&P Ex 4.19

(8)

$$\Sigma_P = \frac{\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{P}}{P} = \frac{1}{P} (\sigma^{23} P_x + \sigma^{31} P_y + \sigma^{12} P_z)$$

The Dirac equation for massless fermion is

$$\not{P} \psi = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P \gamma_0 - P_x \gamma_1 - P_y \gamma_2 - P_z \gamma_3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_P \psi = \frac{1}{P} [\sigma^{23} P_x + \sigma^{31} P_y + \sigma^{12} P_z] \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \psi$$

$$= \frac{1}{P^2} \gamma^0 [\sigma^{23} P_x + \sigma^{31} P_y + \sigma^{12} P_z] (P_x \gamma_1 + P_y \gamma_2 + P_z \gamma_3) \psi$$

$$(\text{note that } \sigma^{23} = i \gamma^2 \gamma^3; \sigma^{31} = i \gamma^3 \gamma^1; \sigma^{12} = i \gamma^1 \gamma^2)$$

$$= \frac{i}{P^2} \gamma^0 \left[\cancel{\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 P_x^2} + \cancel{\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 P_y^2} + \cancel{\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 P_z^2} + \cancel{P_x P_y \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^2} + \cancel{P_x P_y \gamma^3 \gamma^1 \gamma^1} \right. \\ \left. + \cancel{\gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^1 P_x P_z} + \cancel{\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 P_x P_z} + \cancel{\gamma^3 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 P_y P_z} + \cancel{\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 P_y P_z} \right] \psi$$

$$= \frac{i}{P^2} \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 P^2 \psi$$

$$= i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \psi$$

$$= \gamma_5 \psi$$

Therefore: $\frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \Sigma_P) \psi = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \gamma_5) \psi$ for massless fermion. $\square$