

Introductory Remarks

- 1) Name: Daniel Chapman — Official TA for Phys 402
- 2) As you may already know, Dr. Anlage is out of town until Monday
- 3) I am giving second of three guest lectures
- 4) Friday likely will be Dr. Orozco
- 5) Homework is still due Friday — I will be there at the end to pick up
- 6) Exam 1 will be returned Monday. Dr. Anlage wants to see them before they are returned, and all grading questions should go to him
- 7) I will have extended office hours this week from 3:00pm to 5:30pm, at Phys 4110, on Thursday
- 8) Any Questions?

Then let's begin..

Today's Lecture: Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory

Past Lectures: Until now, we have been ~~concerned about~~ dealing with steady-state systems. We have looked at the main solvable systems — the particle in a box, the harmonic oscillator, and the hydrogen atom. We have also seen the effects of small, position-dependent perturbations in the potentials

Of such systems. And we have taken a glimpse into the field of atomic physics. But many questions remain.

Motivation: One such question — How to deal with the effects of outside, short-time interactions with these systems. Perhaps the electron inside a hydrogen atom is hit by a photon. Such interactions cannot be modelled with our current time-independent perturbation theory. But many of the lessons learned can still be adapted. We only require a look at the full time-dependent equations

$$1) \quad \hat{H}\Psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}$$

The full time-dependent Schrödinger equation

If $\hat{H} = H^0$ is independent of time, the technique of separation of variables can be used to "pull out" the time dependence

$$2) \quad \Psi^0(r, t) = \psi_n^0(r) g_n^0(t), \text{ where then}$$

$$3) \quad g_n^0(t) = e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \text{ solves the time-dependent piece,}$$

$$E_n g_n^0(t) = i\hbar \frac{dg_n^0(t)}{dt}$$

and the time-independent piece ~~is solved by~~ must then solve

$$4) \quad H^0(r) \psi_n^0(r) = E_n \psi_n^0(r)$$

However, if then an extra, time-dependent piece is added to the Hamiltonian, $\hat{H} = H^0 + H'(r, t)$, separation of variables can no longer be used

We can still attempt to look at what changes though.

$$H^0 \Psi^0(r, t) + H' \Psi^0(r, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi^0(r, t)}{\partial t}$$

$$\Psi = \Psi^0 + \Psi^D \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\text{next page})$$

$$H^0 \Psi^0 + H^0 \Psi^D + H' \Psi^0 + H' \Psi^D = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi^0}{\partial t} + i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi^D}{\partial t}$$

Satisfy the original Schrödinger equation

$$\Rightarrow H^0 \Psi^D + H' \Psi^0 + H' \Psi^D = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi^D}{\partial t}$$

From the completeness postulate of QM, any wavefunction can be represented as a sum of "basis" wavefunctions — that is,

$$\Psi_n^D(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_e a_{ne}^D(t) \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \Psi^D}{\partial t} = \sum_e (\dot{a}_{ne}^D(t) \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t) + a_{ne}^D(t) \frac{\partial \Psi_e^0}{\partial t}(\vec{r}, t))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{This means } & \sum_e (H^0 a_{ne}^D(t) \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t) + H' \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t) + H'(\vec{r}, t) a_{ne}^D(t) \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t)) \\ &= \sum_e \dot{a}_{ne}^D(t) \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t) + \sum_e a_{ne}^{D(t)} \frac{\partial \Psi_e^0}{\partial t}(\vec{r}, t) \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^0(\vec{r})$ does not depend on t , the order of H^0 and a_{ne}^D does not matter, and then $\sum_e a_{ne}^D(t) H^0(\vec{r}) \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_e a_{ne}^D(t) \frac{\partial H^0}{\partial t} \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t)$

$$\text{So finally, } \sum_e H'(\vec{r}, t) (\delta_{ne} + a_{ne}^D(t)) \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_e i\hbar \dot{a}_{ne}^D(t) \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t)$$

Next, we can multiply both sides from the left with Ψ_j^* , and integrate over all space.

$$\int \Psi_j^* \sum_e H'(\vec{r}, t) (\delta_{ne} + a_{ne}^D(t)) \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t) d\vec{r} = \sum_e i\hbar \dot{a}_{ne}^D(t) \underbrace{\int d^3 r \Psi_j^* \Psi_e^0}_{= \delta_{je}}$$

Typically, this is a very difficult differential equation to solve. There are some tools that can be used, however.

For instance, imagine now being able to control the strength of H' via a variable, λ .

$$H'(\vec{r}, t) \rightarrow \lambda H'(\vec{r}, t)$$

The wavefunction difference, Ψ^D need not be linear in λ , but instead can include extra powers of λ

$$\Psi^D \Rightarrow \lambda \Psi^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \Psi^{(2)} + \lambda^3 \Psi^{(3)} + \dots$$

This directly translates down to a_{ne}^D

$$a_{ne}^D \Rightarrow \lambda a_{ne}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 a_{ne}^{(2)} + \lambda^3 a_{ne}^{(3)} + \dots$$

$$\dot{a}_{ne}^D \Rightarrow \lambda \dot{a}_{ne}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \dot{a}_{ne}^{(2)} + \lambda^3 \dot{a}_{ne}^{(3)} + \dots$$

We can then collect powers of λ in our differential equations

$$\lambda^1: \int \Psi_j^* \sum_e H' \delta_{ne} \Psi_e^0(\vec{r}, t) d^3r = i\hbar \dot{a}_{ne}^{(1)}(t) \Rightarrow a_{ne}^{(1)}(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int d^3r \Psi_j^* H' \Psi_e^0$$

$$\lambda^2: \int \Psi_j^* \sum_e H' a_{ne}^{(1)}(\vec{r}) \Psi_e^0 d^3r = i\hbar \dot{a}_{ne}^{(2)}(t) \Rightarrow a_{ne}^{(2)}(t)$$

So each successive equation can let you solve directly for the next term in the series. By assuming $\lambda H'$ is small compared to H^0 , one can then terminate the series at λ^n to give an n th order approx.

Two level Systems:

If we deal with an original H^0 with only two states, $H^0 \Psi_a = E_a \Psi_a$ and $H^0 \Psi_b = E_b \Psi_b$, the situation simplifies dramatically.

~~At time 0, we can assume at~~ At time 0, say the solution begins in state a . Then the perturbation begins, and at time T , we want to calculate what the probability a measurement will find the system in state b .

$$\Psi_A^0 = \cancel{\Psi^0} \Psi^0(\vec{r}, 0) = a_a(0) \Psi_a + c_b(0) \Psi_b, \text{ with } |a_a(0)|^2 + |c_b(0)|^2 = 1$$

$$\Psi^0(\vec{r}, t) = a_a(t) \Psi_a + c_b(t) \Psi_b = a_a(0) e^{-iE_a t/\hbar} \Psi_a + c_b(0) e^{-iE_b t/\hbar} \Psi_b$$

So in the absence of a perturbation, the probability the state is in state a is $|a_a(t)|^2 = |a_a(0)|^2$, and similarly for state b

In our case, $a_a(0) = 1$ and $c_b(0) = 0$

Now, let us assume we have a small, time-dependent perturbation between $t=0$ and $t=T$

To first order, then we can solve for the transition amplitude: $a_{a \rightarrow b}^{(1)}(T) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T dt \int d^3r \Psi_b^* H' \Psi_a = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T dt \int d^3r \Psi_b^* H' \Psi_a$

~~$\int d^3r \Psi_b^*(r) H'(r, t) \Psi_a(r)$~~

the combination $\frac{E_b^0 - E_a^0}{\hbar} \equiv \omega_0$ is called the "transition frequency"

This analysis can be continued then to second order, and each successive order

7 minute break after first part
 then informal discussion period — show the slides on the projector & talk about them plus homework